arXiv:1608.02832v1 [math.DG] 9 Aug 2016

The extension problem of the mean curvature flow (I)

Haozhao Li * and Bing Wang †

August 10, 2016

Abstract

We show that the mean curvature blows up at the first finite singular time for a closed smooth embedded mean curvature flow in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **5**

3 Weak compactness of the mean curvature flow **6**
3.1 The pseudolocality theorem 7
3.2 Energy concentration property 14
3.3 Weak compactness 16

4 Multiplicity-one convergence of the rescaled mean curvature flow **17**
4.1 Convergence away from singularities 18
4.2 Decomposition of spaces and a "monotone decreasing" quantity 20
4.3 Construction of auxiliary functions 23
4.4 Proof of the multiplicity-one convergence 27

5 Proof of the extension Theorem **29**

Appendix A The parabolic Harnack inequality **30**

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{x}_0 : \Sigma^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a closed smooth embedded surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$. A one-parameter family of immersions $\mathbf{x}(p,t) : \Sigma^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is called a mean curvature flow, if $\mathbf{x}$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} = -H\mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0, \tag{1.1}$$

*Supported by NSFC grant No. 11131007.
†Supported by NSF grant DMS-1510401.

where H denotes the mean curvature of the surface $\Sigma_t := \mathbf{x}(t)(\Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{n}$ denotes the unit normal vector field of Σ_t. In [30], Huisken proved that if the flow (1.1) develops a singularity at time $T < \infty$, then the fundamental form will blow up at time T. A natural conjecture is that the mean curvature will blow up at the finite singular time of a mean curvature flow. There are many results toward this conjecture(cf. Cooper [5][6], Le-Sesum [22][23][24], Lin-Sesum [25], Xu-Ye-Zhao [49] ...). This conjecture is also proposed in page 42 of Mantegazza' book [36] as an open problem. In this paper, we confirm this conjecture in dimension three:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\mathbf{x}(p,t) : \Sigma^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3 (t \in [0,T))$ is a closed smooth embedded mean curvature flow with the first singular time $T < +\infty$, then*

$$\sup_{\Sigma \times [0,T)} |H|(p,t) = +\infty.$$

It is interesting to compare the extension problem of mean curvature flow with Ricci flow. In [27] Hamilton proved that the Riemann curvature tensor will blow up at the finite singular time of a Ricci flow. In [37] Sesum extended Hamilton's result to the Ricci curvature by using Perelman's noncollapsing theorem. In a series of papers [40][41][12] Wang and Chen-Wang provided several conditions which can be used to extend Ricci flow, and they gave a different proof of Sesum's result. It is also conjectured that the Ricci flow can be extended if the scalar curvature stays bounded. Important progresses have been made by Zhang [50], Song-Tian [39], Bamler-Zhang [2] and Simon [38].

The mean curvature flow with convexity conditions has been well studied during the past several decades. If the initial hypersurface satisfies some convexity conditions, like mean convex or two convex, then the mean curvature flow has some convexity estimates (cf. Huisken [30], Huisken-Sinestrari [32][33], Haslhofer-Kleiner [28]). These convexity estimates are important for studying the surgery of mean curvature flow (cf. Huisken-Sinestrari[34], Brendle-Huisken [3], Haslhofer-Kleiner [29]). In [44] [45] White also gave some important properties of the singularities of a mean curvature flow with mean convex initial hypersurfaces. However, all these results rely on the convexity condition of initial hypersurfaces, and it is very difficult to study general cases (cf. Colding-Minicozzi [16] [17], T. Ilmanen [35]). Theorem 1.1 can be viewed as an attempt to study the general singularities without assuming convexity conditions.

Now we sketch the proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that the mean curvature is bounded along the flow (1.1) and the first singular time $T < +\infty$. Consider the corresponding rescaled mean curvature flow

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} = -\Big(H - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}\rangle\Big)\mathbf{n}, \quad \forall\, t \in [0,\infty). \tag{1.2}$$

Then the mean curvature decays exponentially to zero along the flow (1.2). We have to show that the flow (1.2) converges smoothly to a plane with multiplicity one. The proof consists of two steps:

Step 1. Convergence of the rescaled mean curvature flow with multiplicities.

In this step, we first follow the ideas of Chen-Wang [9] [10] to develop the weak compactness theory of mean curvature flow with certain properties, which are basically area doubling property together with bounded mean curvature H and bounded energy $\int_\Sigma |A|^2$. To prove such weak compactness, there are two main technical ingredients:

- The two-sided, long-time pseudolocality theorem.
- The energy concentration property.

The short-time forward pseudolocality theorem for mean curvature flow was studied under the local graphic condition, which says that the surface can be written locally as a graph of a single-valued function. See Ecker-Huisken [19] [20], M.T. Wang [43], Chen-Yin [4] and S.Brendle [3]. In our case, we need to remove this graphic condition since the flow may converge with multiplicities. Under the assumption that the mean curvature is bounded, we will show a new short-time, two-sided pseudolocality type theorem(c.f. Theorem 3.7). Moreover, the pseudolocality theorem can be improved as long-time version(c.f. Theorem 3.8) whenever the mean curvature is very small. Then the energy concentration property follows from the pseudolocality theorem. Once we have the long-time, two-sided pseudolocality theorem and the energy concentration property (cf. Lemma 3.10), we can show the weak compactness of mean curvature flow and get the "flow" convergence of the rescaled mean curvature flow. Since the limit is both minimal and self-shrinking, we obtain the limit must be a plane passing through the origin, with possibly more than one multiplicity.

Step 2. Show that the multiplicity of the convergence is one.

In this step, we use the ideas from the compactness of self-shrinkers and minimal surfaces (cf. Colding-Minicozzi [14][15], L.Wang [42]) to show that the multiplicity is one. For otherwise we can construct a sequence of positive solutions to the corresponding parabolic equations on compact sets of the limit surface away from singularities. However, we shall show that the existence of such a solution contradicts the fact that the limit is a plane with multiplicities. To obtain the contradiction, there are also two main technical ingredients:

- Uniform estimates of the sequence of positive solutions.
- The L-stability of the limit surface across the singular set.

To show uniform estimates of these positive solutions, we introduce a new "almost" decreasing quantity along the rescaled mean curvature flow, and use this quantity with the parabolic Harnack inequality to control the positive solutions. After normalization and taking the limit, we get a positive solution with good estimates on the limit surface away from singularities. Using these estimates, we show that the limit surface is L-stable away from singularities. Recall that a hypersurface Σ is called L-stable(c.f. Colding-Minicozzi [15]) if for any compactly supported function u, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} -uLu\, e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \geq 0,$$

where L is the operator $Lu = \Delta u + |A|^2 u - \frac{1}{2}\langle x, \nabla u\rangle + \frac{u}{2}$ defined by Colding-Minicozzi [16]. Following the argument of Gulliver-Lawson [21] in minimal surfaces, we show that the limit surface is actually L-stable across the singular set. However, the limit surface is a plane which is not L-stable. Thus we obtain the desired contradiction. This contradiction forces that the convergence of (1.2) must have multiplicity one and hence in the smooth topology.

Once the rescaled mean curvature flow (1.2) converges smoothly to a plane with multiplicity one, we use Huisken's monotonicity formula and White's regularity theorem to show that the mean curvature flow (1.1) actually has no singular points at time T. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is very similar to the one used in the study of the Kähler Ricci flow on Fano manifolds by Chen-Wang [10] and Chen-Sun-Wang [8]. Actually, in Chen-Wang [10], the flow weak-compactness was setup for the normalized Kähler Ricci flow, which is comparable to the step 1 mentioned above. Then in Chen-Sun-Wang [8], the limit and convergence topology can be improved to be "smooth" whenever the underlying manifold is K-stable. This is related to our step 2 described above. However, here we used the L-stability of the limit surfaces, instead of the K-stability of the the underlying manifolds to rule out the possible singularities. Not surprisingly, the strategy can also be applied to study the extension problem for the 4-dimensional Ricci flow with bounded scalar curvature. Actually, based on the calculation of M. Simon(c.f. [38]), we have uniform bounded energy $\int_M |Rm|^2$ along the flow. Then the weak-compactness of the parabolic normalized Ricci flows(compared with equation (1.2))

$$\partial_t g = -2(Ric - g)$$

follows from Chen-Wang [9](See also [2] for a different approach). The limit of the above flow is then a Ricci-flat gradient shrinking soliton with finite singular points, which is nothing but a flat metric cone over S^3/Γ for some finite subgroup Γ of $SO(3)$. Similar to the step 2 mentioned above, the 4-dimensional Ricci flow extension problem will be confirmed if one can develop methods to rule out the nontrivial Γ's.

We remark that the weak compactness of the mean curvature flow, i.e., step 1, is based on the observation that locally the structure of the mean curvature flow with bounded H and energy $\int_\Sigma |A|^2$ is modeled by the structure of minimal surfaces with uniformly bounded topology. Similar observation is also the key for the weak compactness of the Ricci flow with bounded energy $\int_M |Rm|^{\frac{m}{2}}$ and bounded scalar curvature R. The two-sided, long-time pseudo-locality(c.f. Chen-Wang [9], [10] and [11]) and the energy concentration are the technical tools for writing down the observation in rigorous analysis estimates. It seems that such observation holds for many other geometric flows, e.g., the harmonic map flow and the Calabi flow.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts on mean curvature flow and minimal surfaces. In Section 3 we develop the weak compactness theory of mean curvature flow under some geometric conditions. In Section 4 we show the rescaled mean curvature flow with the exponential decay of mean curvature converges smoothly to a plane with multiplicity one. Finally, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 in section 5.

Acknowledgements: H. Z. Li would like to thank Professors T.H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II and X. Zhou for insightful discussions. Part of this work was done while he was visiting MIT and he wishes to thank MIT for their generous hospitality. B. Wang would like to thank Professors T. Ilmanen and L. Wang for helpful discussions.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbf{x}(p,t) : \Sigma^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a family of smooth embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}(t)), 0 \le t < T\}$ is called a mean curvature flow if $\mathbf{x}(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} = -H\mathbf{n}, \quad \forall\, t \in [0,T), \tag{2.1}$$

and called a rescaled mean curvature flow if $\mathbf{x}(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} = -\left(H - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}\rangle\right)\mathbf{n}, \quad \forall\, t \in [0,T), \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to check that the rescaled mean curvature flow is equivalent to the mean curvature flow after rescalings in space and a reparameterization of time.

It is well known that the volume ratio is bounded from below along the flow (2.1). See, for example, Lemma 2.9 of Colding-Minicozzi [16].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}(t)), 0 \le t < T\}$ be a mean curvature flow (2.1). Then there is a constant $N = N(\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma_0), T) > 0$ such that for all $r > 0$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Vol}(B_r(p_0) \cap \Sigma_t) \le N r^n, \quad \forall\, t \in [0,T).$$

A hypersurface $\mathbf{x} : \Sigma^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called a self-shrinker, if it satisfies the equation

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}\rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

By Corollary 2.8 of Colding-Minicozzi [16], we have

Lemma 2.2. *(Corollary 2.8 of [16]) If Σ is a self-shrinker and the mean curvature is zero, then Σ is a minimal cone. In particular, if Σ is also smooth and embedded, then it is a hyperplane through 0.*

Observe that the equation (2.1) is invariant under the rescaling

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(p,s) = \lambda\Big(\mathbf{x}(p, T + \frac{s}{\lambda^2}) - p_0\Big), \quad \forall\, (p,s) \in \Sigma \times [-T\lambda^2, 0). \tag{2.4}$$

where $\lambda > 0$. In fact, under the rescaling (2.4) we have the relations

$$\tilde{A}_{ij} = \lambda A_{ij}, \quad \tilde{g}_{ij} = \lambda^2 g_{ij}, \quad \tilde{H} = \frac{1}{\lambda}H, \quad |\tilde{A}| = \frac{1}{\lambda}|A|,$$

where $\tilde{A}, \tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{H}$ denote the second fundamental form, the induced metric and the mean curvature of the surface $\tilde{\Sigma} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(\Sigma)$ respectively. Moreover, by direct calculation we have

Lemma 2.3. *Let Σ^2 be a smooth surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The area ratio and the L^2 norm of the second fundamental form are invariant under (2.4). Namely, we have*

$$\frac{\mathrm{Area}_g(B_r(p) \cap \Sigma)}{\pi r^2} = \frac{\mathrm{Area}_{\tilde{g}}(B_{\tilde{r}}(\tilde{p}) \cap \tilde{\Sigma})}{\pi \tilde{r}^2}$$

and

$$\int_{B_r(p)\cap\Sigma} |A|^2\, d\mu = \int_{B_{\tilde{r}}(\tilde{p})\cap\tilde{\Sigma}} |\tilde{A}|^2\, d\tilde{\mu},$$

where $\tilde{r} = \lambda r,\ \tilde{p} = \lambda(p - p_0)$ and $\tilde{\Sigma} = \lambda(\Sigma - p_0)$.

Now we recall some facts on compactness of immersed hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. For any $B_R(p) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we say an immersed (or embedded) hypersurface $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ properly immersed (or embedded) in $B_R(p)$, if either Σ is closed or $\partial\Sigma$ has distance at least R from the point p. We say that a sequence $\{\Sigma_i\}$ converges in $C^{k,\alpha}$ topology to a hypersurface Σ, if for any $p \in \Sigma$ each Σ_i is locally (near p) a graph over the tangent space $T_p\Sigma$ and the graph of Σ_i converges to the graph of Σ in the usual $C^{k,\alpha}$ topology.

The following theorem is well known and it shows the convergence of properly immersed surfaces under the second fundamental form bound. The readers are referred to [48] for the details.

Theorem 2.4 (Compactness of minimal surfaces). *Let $\{\Sigma_i^n\}$ be a sequence of smooth, properly immersed hypersurfaces in $B_R(p) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If for all $i \geq 1$, the second fundamental form $\sup_{\Sigma_i \cap B_R(p)} |A_i| \leq \Lambda$ for a constant $\Lambda > 0$, then there is a subsequence of $\{\Sigma_i^n\}$ converges in $C^{1,\frac{1}{2}}$ topology, possibly with multiplicities, to an immersed hypersurface Σ_∞. Moreover, if the hypersurfaces $\{\Sigma_i^n\}$ are minimal, then the convergence is in smooth topology.*

To study the convergence of mean curvature flow, we define the convergence of a sequence of one-parameter hypersurfaces as follows.

Definition 2.5. *We say that a sequence of one-parameter smoothly immersed hypersurfaces $\{\Sigma_{i,t}^n, -1 < t < 1\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ converges in smooth topology, possibly with multiplicities, to a limit flow $\{\Sigma_{\infty,t}, -1 < t < 1\}$ away from a space-time singular set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times (-1,1)$, if for any $t \in (-1,1)$, any $p \in \Sigma_{\infty,t} \backslash \mathcal{S}_t$ and large i, there exists $r > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that the hypersurface $\Sigma_{i,s} \cap B_r(p)$ with $s \in [t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]$ can be written as a collection of graphs of smooth functions $\{u_i^1(x,s), u_i^2(x,s), \cdots, u_i^N(x,s)\}$ over the tangent plane of $\Sigma_{\infty,t}$ at the point p. Moreover, for each $k \in \{1,2,\cdots,N\}$ the functions $u_i^k(x,s)$ converges smoothly in x and s as $i \to +\infty$.*

In the above definition, $\mathcal{S}_t$ is defined by $\mathcal{S}_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \,|\, (x,t) \in \mathcal{S}\}$. If $\mathcal{S}_t$ is independent of t, then we can also replace the space-times singular set $\mathcal{S}$ simply by $\mathcal{S}_{t_0}$ for some t_0.

The following compactness result of mean curvature flow is well-known. See, for exmaple, page 481-482 of [4] for a detailed proof.

Theorem 2.6 (Compactness of mean curvature flow). *Let $\{(\Sigma_i^n, \mathbf{x}_i(t)), -1 < t < 1\}$ be a sequence of mean curvature flow properly immersed in $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$(i.e. for each $t \in (-1,1)$ the hypersurface $\Sigma_{i,t}$ is properly immersed in $B_R(0)$). Suppose that*

$$\sup_{\Sigma_{i,t} \cap B_R(0)} |A|(x,t) \leq \Lambda, \quad \forall\, t \in (-1,1)$$

for some $\Lambda > 0$. Then a subsequence of $\{\Sigma_{i,t} \cap B_R(0), -1 < t < 1\}$ converges in smooth topology to a smooth mean curvature flow $\{\Sigma_{\infty,t}, -1 < t < 1\}$ in $B_R(0)$.

3 Weak compactness of the mean curvature flow

In this section, we follow the arguments of Ricci flow by Chen-Wang in [9][10] and minimal surfaces by Choi-Schoen in [7] to study the weak compactness of mean curvature flow under some geometric conditions. This weak compactness result will be used to prove the convergence of rescaled mean curvature flow in the next section.

3.1 The pseudolocality theorem

The pseudolocality type results of the mean curvature flow were studied by Ecker-Huisken [19] [20], M. T. Wang [43], Chen-Yin [4] and Brendle-Huisken [3]. However, all these pseudolocality theorems above require the condition that the initial hypersurface can be locally written as a graph of a single-valued function. In our case, we need to remove this graphic condition since the flow may converge with multiplicities. Here, we give a different type of pseudolocality theorem under the assumption that the mean curvature along the flow is uniformly bounded.

Definition 3.1. *For any $r > 0, p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we denote by $C_x(B_r(p) \cap \Sigma)$ the connected component of $B_r(p) \cap \Sigma$ containing $x \in \Sigma$.*

Lemma 3.2. *(cf. Lemma 7.1 of [4]) Let $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be properly embedded in $B_{r_0}(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \Sigma$ with*

$$|A|(x) \leq \frac{1}{r_0} \quad x \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \Sigma.$$

Let $\{x^1 \cdots, x^{n+1}\}$ be coordinates of radius r_0 around x_0 such that $T_{x_0}\Sigma = \mathrm{span}\{\partial_{x^1}, \cdots, \partial_{x^n}\}$. Then there is a map

$$u : \left\{ x' = (x^1, \cdots, x^n) \,\middle|\, |x'| < \frac{r_0}{96} \right\} \to \mathbb{R}$$

with $u(0) = 0$ and $|\nabla u|(0) = 0$ such that the connected component of $\Sigma \cap \{|x'| < \frac{r_0}{96}\}$ can be written as a graph $\{(x', u(x')) \,|\, |x'| < \frac{r_0}{96}\}$ and

$$|\nabla u|(x') \leq \frac{36}{r_0}|x'|.$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we show that the local area ratio of the surface is very close to 1.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $\Sigma^n \subset B_{r_0}(p) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a hypersurface with $\partial\Sigma \subset \partial B_{r_0}(p)$ and*

$$\sup_{\Sigma} |A| \leq \frac{1}{r_0}.$$

For any $\delta > 0$, there is a constant $\rho_0 = \rho_0(r_0, \delta)$ such that for any $r \in (0, \rho_0)$ and any $x \in B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(p) \cap \Sigma$ we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma(C_x(B_r(x) \cap \Sigma))}{\omega_n r^n} \leq 1 + \delta. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, for any $x \in B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(p) \cap \Sigma$ the component $C_x(B_{\rho_0}(x) \cap \Sigma)$ with $\rho_0 = \frac{r_0}{192}$ can be written as a graph of a function u over the tangent plane at x, which we assume to be $P = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_{n+1} = 0\}$, with $|\nabla u|(x') \leq \frac{72}{r_0}|x'|$ where $x' = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. For any $r \in (0, \rho_0)$, the volume ratio of $C_x(B_r(x) \cap \Sigma)$ is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma(C_x(B_r(x) \cap \Sigma))}{\omega_n r^n} = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^n} \int_{B_r(x) \cap P} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}\, d\mu \leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{5184}{r_0^2} r^2}.$$

Thus, we can choose r sufficiently small such that (3.1) holds. The lemma is proved.

□

The next result shows that we can control the local volume ratio along the mean curvature flow with bounded mean curvature.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}(t)), -1 \le t \le 1\}$ be a smooth embedded mean curvature flow (2.1) with $\max_{\Sigma_t \times [-1,1]} |H(p,t)| \le \Lambda$. Then for any $t_1, t_2 \in [-1,1]$ we have*

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_2)}(C_{p_{t_2}}(B_{r_2}(p_{t_2}) \cap \Sigma_{t_2}))}{\omega_n r_2^n} \le f(t_1, t_2, \Lambda, r_2) \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}(C_{p_{t_1}}(B_{r_1}(p_{t_1}) \cap \Sigma_{t_1}))}{\omega_n r_1^n} \tag{3.2}$$

where $p_t = \mathbf{x}_t(p,t)$ for some $p \in \Sigma$ and

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= r_2 + 2\Lambda |t_2 - t_1|, \\ f(t_1, t_2, \Lambda, c, r_2) &= e^{\Lambda^2 |t_2 - t_1|} \Big(1 + \frac{2\Lambda}{r_2} |t_2 - t_1|\Big)^n \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the mean curvature is bounded, for any $\Omega \subset \Sigma$ we calculate

$$\Big| \frac{d}{ds} \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t)}(\Omega) \Big| = \Big| \int_\Omega |H|^2 \, d\mu_t \Big| \le \Lambda^2 \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t)}(\Omega),$$

which implies that

$$e^{-\Lambda^2 |t_1 - t_2|} \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_2)}(\Omega) \le \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}(\Omega) \le e^{\Lambda^2 |t_1 - t_2|} \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_2)}(\Omega). \tag{3.3}$$

Note that for any $p, q \in \Sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\mathbf{x}(p,t) - \mathbf{x}(q,t)|^2 &= 2\langle \mathbf{x}(p,t) - \mathbf{x}(q,t), -H(p,t)\mathbf{n}(p,t) + H(q,t)\mathbf{n}(q,t) \rangle \\ &\le 4\Lambda |\mathbf{x}(p,t) - \mathbf{x}(q,t)|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|\mathbf{x}(p,t_1) - \mathbf{x}(q,t_1)| \le |\mathbf{x}(p,t_2) - \mathbf{x}(q,t_2)| + 2\Lambda |t_1 - t_2|.$$

Let $p_t = \mathbf{x}(p,t)$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{x}(t_2)^{-1}(C_{p_{t_2}}(B_r(p_{t_2}) \cap \Sigma_{t_2})) \subset \mathbf{x}(t_1)^{-1}(C_{p_{t_1}}(B_{r + 2\Lambda |t_1 - t_2|}(p_{t_1}) \cap \Sigma_{t_1})).$$

Therefore, we have the area estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_2)}(C_{p_{t_2}}(B_r(p_{t_2}) \cap \Sigma_{t_2})) \\ \le \; & \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_2)}(\mathbf{x}(t_1)^{-1}(C_{p_{t_1}}(B_{r + 2\Lambda e |t_1 - t_2|}(p_{t_1}) \cap \Sigma_{t_1}))) \\ \le \; & e^{\Lambda^2 |t_1 - t_2|} \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}(C_{p_{t_1}}(B_{r + 2\Lambda |t_1 - t_2|}(p_{t_1}) \cap \Sigma_{t_1})), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where we used (3.3) in the last inequality. For any $x \in \Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $r > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_2)}(C_{p_{t_2}}(B_{r_2}(p_{t_2}) \cap \Sigma_{t_2}))}{\omega_n r_2^n} \\ = \; & \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_2)}(C_{p_{t_2}}(B_{r_2}(p_{t_2}) \cap \Sigma_{t_2}))}{\omega_n r_2^n} \\ \le \; & e^{\Lambda^2 |t_1 - t_2|} \cdot \Big(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\Big)^n \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}(C_{p_{t_1}}(B_{r_1}(p_{t_1}) \cap \Sigma_{t_1}))}{\omega_n r_1^n} \\ = \; & e^{\Lambda^2 |t_1 - t_2|} \cdot \Big(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\Big)^n \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_1)}(C_{p_{t_1}}(B_{r_1}(p_{t_1}) \cap \Sigma_{t_1}))}{\omega_n r_1^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where r_1, r_2 satisfy the following relations:

$$r_1 = r_2 + 2\Lambda|t_1 - t_2|.$$

The lemma is proved.

□

Using the idea of the monotonicity formula of minimal surfaces, we show that the volume ratio is almost monotone if the mean curvature is bounded. See, for example, Proposition 1.12 in Colding-Minicozzi [13].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a properly embedded hypersurface in $B_{r_0}(x_0)$ with $x_0 \in \Sigma$ and $|H| \leq \Lambda$. Then for any $s \in (0, r_0)$ we have*

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma(B_s(x_0) \cap \Sigma)}{\omega_n s^n} \leq e^{\Lambda r_0} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma(B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \Sigma)}{\omega_n {r_0}^n}.$$

In particular, letting $s \to 0$ we have

$$\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma(B_r(x_0) \cap \Sigma) \geq e^{-\Lambda r}\omega_n r^n, \quad \forall\, r \in (0, r_0].$$

Proof. Note that the function $f(x) = |x - x_0|$ satisfies the identity

$$\Delta_\Sigma f^2 = 2n - 2H\langle x - x_0, \mathbf{n}\rangle.$$

By the Stokes' theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2n\mathrm{Vol}(\{f \leq s\}) &= \int_{\{f\leq s\}} \Delta_\Sigma f^2 + 2\int_{\{f\leq s\}} H\langle x - x_0, \mathbf{n}\rangle \\ &= 2\int_{\{f=s\}} |(x - x_0)^T| + 2\int_{\{f\leq s\}} H\langle x - x_0, \mathbf{n}\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The coarea formula implies that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\{f \leq s\}) = \int_0^s \int_{\{f=r\}} |\nabla_\Sigma f|^{-1}. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining the identities (3.5)-(3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}\left(s^{-n}\mathrm{Vol}(\{f \leq s\})\right) &= -ns^{-n-1}\mathrm{Vol}(\{f \leq s\}) + s^{-n}\int_{\{f=s\}} \frac{|x - x_0|}{|(x - x_0)^T|} \\ &= s^{-n-1}\int_{\{f=s\}} \frac{|(x - x_0)^N|^2}{|(x - x_0)^T|} - s^{-n-1}\int_{\{f\leq s\}} H\langle x - x_0, \mathbf{n}\rangle \\ &\geq -\Lambda \cdot s^{-n}\mathrm{Vol}(\{f \leq s\}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $F(s) = s^{-n}\mathrm{Vol}(\{f \leq s\})$. Then for any $s \in (0, r_0)$ we have

$$F(s) \leq F(r_0)e^{\Lambda(r_0 - s)} \leq F(r_0)e^{\Lambda r_0}.$$

Thus, the lemma is proved.

□

Lemma 3.6. *For any $C > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(n, C) > 0$ satisfying the following property. Any complete smooth minimal hypersurface $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with bounded second fundamental form $|A| \leq C$ and volume ratio*

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_\Sigma(B_r(p) \cap \Sigma)}{\omega_n r^n} < 1 + \delta, \quad \forall\, r > 0 \tag{3.7}$$

must be a hyperplane.

Proof. Suppose not, there exists a sequence of non-flat minimal hypersurfaces Σ_i with $|A_i| \leq C$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(B_r(p_i) \cap \Sigma_i)}{\omega_n r^n} < 1 + \delta_i, \quad \forall\, r > 0 \tag{3.8}$$

where $p_i \in \Sigma_i$ and $\delta_i \to 0$. Since Σ_i are non-flat, we can assume that $|A_i|(p_i) = 1$. By Theorem 2.4, a subsequence of $\tilde{\Sigma}_i = \Sigma_i - p_i$ converges smoothly to a complete smooth minimal hypersurfaces Σ_∞ with $|A_\infty|(0) = 1$ and volume ratio

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(B_r(0) \cap \Sigma_\infty)}{\omega_n r^n} = 1, \quad \forall\, r > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

(3.9) implies that Σ_∞ is a hyperplane(c.f. Corollary 1.13 of Colding-Minicozzi [13]), which contradicts the equality $|A_\infty|(0) = 1$. Thus, the lemma is proved.

□

Combining the above results, we show the following pseudolocality theorem.

Theorem 3.7 (Two-sided pseudolocality). *For any $r_0 \in (0, 1], \Lambda, T > 0$, there exist $\eta = \eta(n, \Lambda), \epsilon = \epsilon(n, \Lambda) > 0$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{\Lambda \to 0} \eta(n, \Lambda) = \eta_0(n) > 0, \quad \lim_{\Lambda \to 0} \epsilon(n, \Lambda) = \epsilon_0(n) > 0 \tag{3.10}$$

and the following properties. Let $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}(t)), -T \leq t \leq T\}$ be a closed smooth embedded mean curvature flow (2.1). Assume that

(1) the second fundamental form satisfies $|A|(x, 0) \leq \frac{1}{r_0}$ for any $x \in C_{p_0}(B_{r_0}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_0)$ where $p_0 = \mathbf{x}_0(p)$ for some $p \in \Sigma$;

(2) the mean curvature of $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}_t), -T \leq t \leq T\}$ is bounded by Λ.

Then for any (x, t) satisfying

$$x \in C_{p_t}(\Sigma_t \cap B_{\frac{1}{16} r_0}(p_0)), \quad t \in \Big[-\frac{\eta r_0^2}{2(\Lambda + \Lambda^2)}, \frac{\eta r_0^2}{2(\Lambda + \Lambda^2)} \Big] \cap [-T, T] \tag{3.11}$$

where $p_t = \mathbf{x}_t(p)$, we have the estimate

$$|A|(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon r_0}.$$

Proof. The proof consists of the following steps:

Step 1. Without loss of generality, we assume $r_0 = 1$. By Lemma 3.3 and the assumption (1), for any fixed $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $\tilde{\rho}_0 = \tilde{\rho}_0(\delta) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that for any $r \in (0, \tilde{\rho}_0]$ we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(0)}(C_{y_0}(B_r(y_0) \cap \Sigma_0))}{\omega_n r^n} \leq 1 + \delta, \quad \forall\, y_0 \in C_{p_0}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_0). \tag{3.12}$$

For any $\rho_0 \in (0, \tilde{\rho}_0]$, we define

$$\eta_0(n, \rho_0, \delta) := \sup\Big\{\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{8}] \,\Big|\, e^{\eta}\Big(1 + \frac{2\eta}{\rho_0 - 2\eta}\Big)^n (1+\delta) \leq 1 + 2\delta\Big\}. \tag{3.13}$$

For any given $\Lambda > 0$, we choose $\rho_0 = \rho_0(n, \delta, \Lambda) \in (0, \tilde{\rho}_0]$ such that

$$\rho_0(n, \delta, \Lambda) := \sup\Big\{\rho_0 \in (0, \tilde{\rho}_0] \,\Big|\, e^{\Lambda(\rho_0 - 2\eta_0(n,\rho_0,\delta))}(1+2\delta) \leq 1 + 3\delta\Big\}, \tag{3.14}$$

where η_0 is defined by (3.13). Note that ρ_0 and η_0 have positive lower bounds depending only on n and δ as $\Lambda \to 0$.

Step 2. By Lemma 3.4, (3.12) and (3.13), for any $t \in [-T, T]$ with $\Lambda|t| + \Lambda^2|t| \leq \eta_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t)}(C_{y_t}(B_{\rho_1}(y_t) \cap \Sigma_t))}{\omega_n \rho_1^n} &\leq e^{\Lambda^2|t|}\Big(1 + \frac{2\Lambda|t|}{\rho_1}\Big)^n \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(0)}(C_{y_0}(B_{\rho_0}(y_0) \cap \Sigma_0))}{\omega_n \rho_0^n} \\ &\leq 1 + 2\delta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $y_t = \mathbf{x}_t(\mathbf{x}_0^{-1}(y_0))$, y_0 is any point in $C_{p_0}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_0)$ and $\rho_1 := \rho_0 - 2\eta_0(n, \rho_0, \delta)$. Note that $\rho_1 > 0$ by (3.13). Let $\eta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\eta_0}{2(\Lambda + \Lambda^2)}}$. Then (3.15) holds for any $t \in [-2\eta_1^2, 2\eta_1^2] \cap [-T, T]$. By Lemma 3.5, (3.14), (3.15) and the definition of ρ_1, for any $s \in (0, \rho_1]$ and any $t \in [-2\eta_1^2, 2\eta_1^2] \cap [-T, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t)}(C_{y_t}(B_s(y_t) \cap \Sigma_t))}{\omega_n s^n} &\leq e^{\Lambda\rho_1} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t)}(C_{y_t}(B_{\rho_1}(y_t) \cap \Sigma_t))}{\omega_n \rho_1^n} \\ &\leq 1 + 3\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Let $\bar{\rho} := \frac{1}{4} - \eta_0$. Then by the definition of η_0 we have $\frac{1}{8} \leq \bar{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{4}$. By the assumption (2), we have $p_t \in B_{\bar{\rho}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_t \neq \emptyset$ for any $t \in [-2\eta_1^2, 2\eta_1^2] \cap [-T, T]$ since

$$|p_t - p_0| \leq \Lambda|t| \leq 2\Lambda\eta_1^2 = \frac{\eta_0}{1+\Lambda} < \eta_0 \leq \frac{1}{8} \leq \bar{\rho}.$$

Using the assumption (2) again, for any $q_t \in C_{p_t}(B_{\bar{\rho}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_t)$ with $t \in [-2\eta_1^2, 2\eta_1^2] \cap [-T, T]$ we have $q_0 := \mathbf{x}_0(\mathbf{x}_t^{-1}(q_t)) \in C_{p_0}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_0)$ since

$$|q_0 - p_0| \leq |q_0 - q_t| + |q_t - p_0| < \frac{1}{8} + \bar{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Combining this with (3.16), for any $t \in [-2\eta_1^2, 2\eta_1^2] \cap [-T, T]$ and $q \in C_{p_t}(B_{\bar{\rho}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_t)$ we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t)}(C_q(B_s(q) \cap \Sigma_t))}{\omega_n s^n} \leq 1 + 3\delta, \quad \forall\, s \in (0, \rho_1]. \tag{3.17}$$

Step 3. Suppose there exist $\Lambda > 0$, a sequence of $\epsilon \to 0, \epsilon \in (0, \eta_1]$ and smooth solutions to the mean curvature flow $\mathbf{x}_t : \Sigma^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for $t \in [-T, T]$ with $T \geq 2\eta_1^2$ such that $|A|(x, 0) \leq 1$ for any $x \in C_{p_0}(B_1(p_0) \cap \Sigma_0)$, and there exists (x_1, t_1) satisfying $t_1 \in [-\eta_1^2, \eta_1^2]$ and $x_1 \in C_{p_{t_1}}(B_{\frac{1}{16}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_{t_1})$ such that

$$Q_1 := |A|(x_1, t_1) > \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that $p_{t_1} \in B_{\frac{1}{16}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_{t_1}$ since

$$|p_{t_1} - p_0| \leq \Lambda \eta_1^2 \leq \frac{\eta_0}{2(1+\Lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{16}.$$

Fix $K > 0$ such that $K > \frac{1}{2}\Lambda\epsilon$ and $2K\epsilon < \frac{1}{16}$. Check whether there exists a point

$$(x, t) \in C_{x_{1,t}}(B_{KQ_1^{-1}}(x_1) \cap \Sigma_t) \times [t_1 - \frac{1}{2}Q_1^{-2}, t_1] \tag{3.19}$$

satisfying $|A|(x, t) > 2Q_1$. Here we define $x_{1,t} = \mathbf{x}_t(\mathbf{x}_{t_1}^{-1}(x_1))$. Note that $x_{1,t} \in B_{KQ_1^{-1}}(x_1) \cap \Sigma_t$ since

$$|x_1 - x_{1,t}| \leq \Lambda|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}\Lambda Q_1^{-2} < KQ_1^{-1}, \quad \forall\, t \in [t_1 - \frac{1}{2}Q_1^{-2}, t_1].$$

If there is no such point, then we stop. Otherwise, we can find a point, which we denote by (x_2, t_2), satisfying (3.19) and $Q_2 := |A|(x_2, t_2) > 2Q_1$. Then we check whether there exists a point

$$(x, t) \in C_{x_{2,t}}(B_{KQ_2^{-1}}(x_2) \cap \Sigma_t) \times [t_2 - \frac{1}{2}Q_2^{-2}, t_2] \tag{3.20}$$

satisfying $|A|(x, t) > 2Q_2$. We can also check that $x_{2,t} \in B_{KQ_2^{-1}}(x_2) \cap \Sigma_t$. If there is no such point, then we stop. Otherwise, we can find a point which we denote by (x_3, t_3). Repeating the process, we can find a sequence of points (x_k, t_k). Note that

$$t_k \geq t_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Big(Q_{k-1}^{-2} + Q_{k-2}^{-2} + \cdots + Q_1^{-2}\Big) \geq t_1 - \epsilon^2 > -2\eta_1^2$$

and the Euclidean distance

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_k, p_0) &\leq d(x_k, x_{k-1}) + d(x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}) + \cdots + +d(x_1, p_0) \\ &\leq K\Big(Q_{k-1}^{-1} + Q_{k-2}^{-1} + \cdots + Q_1^{-1}\Big) + d(x_1, p_0) \\ &\leq 2K\epsilon + \frac{1}{16} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \leq \bar{\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

where we choose $K = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and ϵ small. Since $Q_k := |A|(x_k, t_k) \geq 2^{k-1}Q_1 \to +\infty$ as $k \to +\infty$, the process will stop at some finite k and we get a point $(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$ satisfying the following properties:

- $(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \in C_{p_{\bar{t}}}(B_{\bar{\rho}}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_{\bar{t}}) \times (-2\eta_1^2, t_1]$;
- $|A|(x, t) \leq 2|A|(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$ for any point $(x, t) \in C_{\bar{x}_{,t}}(B_{K\bar{Q}^{-1}}(\bar{x}) \cap \Sigma_t) \times [\bar{t} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{Q}^{-2}, \bar{t}]$, where $\bar{x}_{,t} := \mathbf{x}_t(\mathbf{x}_{\bar{t}}^{-1}(\bar{x}))$ and $\bar{Q} := |A|(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$. Note that $[\bar{t} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{Q}^{-2}, \bar{t}] \subset [-2\eta_1^2, t_1]$.

Step 4. We rescale the flow by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(p,s) = \bar{Q}\Big(\mathbf{x}(p,\bar{t}+\frac{s}{\bar{Q}^2}) - \bar{x}\Big), \quad \forall\ (p,s) \in \Sigma \times [-(T+\bar{t})\bar{Q}^2, (T-\bar{t})\bar{Q}^2].$$

Then the rescaled flow $\tilde{\Sigma}_s := \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_s(\Sigma)$ is a mean curvature flow satisfying the following properties:

- For any $(x,s) \in C_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_s(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^{-1}(0))}(B_{\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_s) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$, we have $|A_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s}|(x,s) \le 2$ and $|A_{\tilde{\Sigma}_0}|(0,0) = 1$;
- For any $r \in (0, \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ we have the volume ratio

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(C_0(B_r(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0))}{\omega_n r^n} \le 1 + 3\delta.$$

  Here we used (3.17), (3.18) and the facts that $K\bar{Q}^{-1} \le KQ_1^{-1} \le \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} < \rho_1$ when ϵ is small.

- The mean curvature of the flow $\tilde{\Sigma}_s$ satisfies $|\tilde{H}| \le \Lambda \bar{Q}^{-1}$.

Since $\epsilon \to 0$ and $\bar{Q} \to +\infty$, the flow $C_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_s(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^{-1}(0))}(B_{\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_s) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ converges smoothly to a complete smooth minimal surface Σ_∞ with $\sup_{\Sigma_\infty} |A_{\Sigma_\infty}| \le 2$, $|A_{\Sigma_\infty}|(0) = 1$ and volume ratio

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(B_r(0) \cap \Sigma_\infty)}{\omega_n r^n} \le 1 + 3\delta, \quad \forall\ r > 0.$$

If we choose $\delta = \frac{1}{3}\delta_0$ where $\delta_0 = \delta_0(n)$ is the constant in Lemma 3.6, then Σ_∞ is a hyperplane, which contradicts $|A_{\Sigma_\infty}|(0) = 1$. The theorem is proved.

□

A direct corollary of Theorem 3.7 is the following long time pseudolocality theorem. The long-time-pseudolocality type theorem originates from the study of the Kähler Ricci flow by Chen-Wang(c.f. Theorem 1.4 of Chen-Wang [10], or Proposition 4.15 and Remark 5.3 of Chen-Wang [11]). It will be inspiring to compare the following theorem with its Kähler Ricci flow counterpart.

Theorem 3.8 (Long-time, two-sided pseudolocality). *For any $r_0 \in (0,1], T > 0$, there exist $\delta = \delta(n, r_0, T), \epsilon = \epsilon(n) > 0$ with the following properties. Let $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}(t)), -T \le t \le T\}$ be a closed smooth embedded mean curvature flow (2.1). Assume that*

(1) the second fundamental form satisfies $|A|(x,0) \le \frac{1}{r_0}$ for any $x \in C_{p_0}(B_{r_0}(p_0) \cap \Sigma_0)$ where $p_0 = \mathbf{x}_0(p)$ for some $p \in \Sigma$;

(2) the mean curvature of $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}_t), -T \le t \le T\}$ is bounded by δ.

Then for any $(x,t) \in C_{p_t}(\Sigma_t \cap B_{\frac{1}{16}r_0}(p_0)) \times [-T, T]$ where $p_t = \mathbf{x}_t(p)$, we have the estimate

$$|A|(x,t) \le \frac{1}{\epsilon r_0}.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.7 for $\Lambda = \delta$, then we get the constant $\eta(n,\delta)$ and $\epsilon(n,\delta)$. By (3.11), the conclusion holds for any $t \in [-T, T]$ if

$$\frac{\eta(n,\delta) r_0^2}{2(\delta+\delta^2)} \geq 2T. \tag{3.21}$$

Since $\eta_0(n) = \lim_{\delta\to 0} \eta(n,\delta) > 0$, there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(n, r_0, T)$ such that (3.21) holds. Note that $\lim_{\delta\to 0} \epsilon(n,\delta) = \epsilon_0(n) > 0$ by (3.10). Thus, the theorem is proved.

□

3.2 Energy concentration property

In [7], Choi-Schoen showed the following energy concentration property for minimal surfaces. This property says that the energy near a point with large curvature cannot be small .

Lemma 3.9. *(Choi-Schoen [7]) Fix $\rho \leq 1$. There is a number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $\Sigma^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a minimal surface with $\partial\Sigma \subset \partial B_\rho(x)$ with $|A|(x) \geq \rho^{-1}$, then*

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x)\cap\Sigma} |A|^2 \, d\mu \geq \epsilon_0.$$

Motivated by Choi-Schoen's result, we show that the energy concentration property holds for mean curvature flow with bounded mean curvature by using the pseudolocality theorem.

Lemma 3.10 (Energy concentration). *For any $\Lambda, K, T > 0$, there exists a constant $\epsilon(n,\Lambda,K,T) > 0$ with the following property. Let $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}(t)), -T \leq t \leq T\}$ be a closed smooth embedded mean curvature flow (2.1). Assume that $\max_{\Sigma_t\times[-T,T]} |H|(p,t) \leq \Lambda$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma_0\cap B_{Q^{-1}}(q)} |A|^n \, d\mu_0 \geq \epsilon(n,\Lambda,K,T) \tag{3.22}$$

whenever $q \in \Sigma_0$ with $Q := |A|(q,0) \geq K$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \Sigma_0$ such that $Q := |A|(x_0,0) \geq K$. We define the function $f(x) = |A|(x,0)d(x,\partial\Omega)$ on $\Omega := B_{Q^{-1}}(x_0) \cap \Sigma_0$. Here d denotes the Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Note that $f = 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, if $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$, and $f = 1$ at the center point x_0.

Case 1. $\max_\Omega f < 10$. We rescale the flow by $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(p,t) = Q(\mathbf{x}(p, Q^{-2}t) - x_0)$ and let $\tilde{\Omega} := B_1(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0$, where $\tilde{\Sigma}_0 := \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(0)(\Sigma)$. Note that the mean curvature of $\tilde{\Sigma}_t (-K^2T \leq t \leq K^2T)$ satisfies

$$\max_{\tilde{\Sigma}_t\times[-K^2T,K^2T]} |\tilde{H}|(p,t) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{Q} \leq \frac{\Lambda}{K}, \tag{3.23}$$

and $\max_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\tilde{A}| d(x,\partial\tilde{\Omega}) = \max_\Omega f < 10$. Thus, we have $|\tilde{A}|(x,0) < \frac{10}{d(x,\partial\tilde{\Omega})}$ for any $x \in B_1(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0$. In particular, inside $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0$ we have $|\tilde{A}| < 20$. Theorem 3.7 implies that there exists $\delta_0 = \delta_0(n,\Lambda,K,T) \in (0,1)$ such that $|\tilde{A}|(p,t) \leq \frac{1}{\delta_0}$ for any $t \in [-\delta_0^2, \delta_0^2]$ and $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_t \cap B_{\delta_0}(0)$. Therefore, there exists $\delta_1 = \delta_1(n,\Lambda,K,T) \in (0,\delta_0)$ such that we have all higher order curvature estimates in

$B_{\delta_1}(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_t$ for any $t \in [-\delta_1^2, \delta_1^2]$. Note that $|\tilde{A}|(0,0) = 1$, the higher order curvature estimates implies that $|\tilde{A}|(q,0) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $B_{\delta_2}(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0$ for some $\delta_2(n, \Lambda, K, T) \in (0, \delta_1)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{Q^{-1}}(x_0)\cap\Sigma_0} |A|^n \, d\mu_0 &= \int_{B_1(0)\cap\tilde{\Sigma}_0} |\tilde{A}|^n \, d\tilde{\mu}_0 \geq \int_{B_{\delta_2}(0)\cap\tilde{\Sigma}_0} |\tilde{A}|^n \, d\tilde{\mu}_0 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^n}\mathrm{Vol}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_0}(B_{\delta_2}(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \omega_n e^{-\frac{\Lambda\delta_2}{K}} \delta_2^n,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 3.5 in the last inequality.

Case 2. $\max_\Omega f \geq 10$. Let y_0 be the point where f achieve the maximum and $Q' := |A|(y_0, 0)$. Note that

$$Q' \geq \frac{10}{d(y_0, \partial\Omega)} \geq 10Q \geq 10K.$$

We rescale the flow by $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(p,t) = Q'(\mathbf{x}(p, Q'^{-2}t) - x_0)$ and we define

$$\tilde{y}_0 := Q'(y_0 - x_0), \quad \tilde{\Omega} := B_{Q'Q^{-1}}(0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0,$$

where $\tilde{\Sigma}_0 := \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(0)(\Sigma)$. Then the function $\tilde{f}(x,0) := |\tilde{A}|(x,0)d(x, \partial\tilde{\Omega})$ achieves the maximum at the point $\tilde{y}_0$ and the mean curvature of $\tilde{\Sigma}_t$ is bounded by $\frac{\Lambda}{10K}$ for any $t \in [-Q'^2T, Q'^2T]$. Moreover, $|\tilde{A}|(\tilde{y}_0, 0) = 1$ and $d(\tilde{y}_0, \partial\tilde{\Omega}) \geq 10$. For any $x \in B_1(\tilde{y}_0) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}_0$, we have

$$|\tilde{A}|(x,0) \leq \frac{d(\tilde{y}_0, \partial\tilde{\Omega})}{d(x, \partial\tilde{\Omega})}|\tilde{A}|(\tilde{y}_0, 0) \leq \frac{d(\tilde{y}_0, \partial\tilde{\Omega})}{d(\tilde{y}_0, \partial\tilde{\Omega}) - 1} \leq 2.$$

Then using the backward pseudolocality as in case 1, we obtain that

$$\int_{B_1(\tilde{y}_0)\cap\tilde{\Sigma}_0} |\tilde{A}|^n \, d\tilde{\mu}_0 \geq \epsilon(n, \Lambda, K, T)$$

for some $\epsilon(n, \Lambda, K, T) > 0$. Using the scaling invariance, we have $\int_{B_{Q'^{-1}}(y_0)\cap\Sigma_0} |A|^n \, d\mu_0 > \epsilon(n, \Lambda, K, T)$. By the definition of y_0, we have $Q'^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{10}d(y_0, \partial\Omega)$. Therefore, $B_{Q'^{-1}}(y_0) \subset B_{Q^{-1}}(x_0)$ and we have the inequality

$$\int_{B_{Q^{-1}}(x_0)\cap\Sigma_0} |A|^n \, d\mu_0 > \epsilon(n, \Lambda, K, T).$$

The lemma is proved.

□

A direct corollary of Lemma 3.10 is the following result.

Corollary 3.11 (ϵ-regularity). *There exists $\epsilon_0(n) > 0$ satisfying the following property. Let $\{(\Sigma^n, \mathbf{x}(t)), -1 \leq t \leq 1\}$ be a closed smooth embedded mean curvature flow (2.1). Suppose that the mean curvature satisfies $\max_{\Sigma_t \times [-1,1]} |H|(p,t) \leq 1$. For any $q \in \Sigma_0$, if*

$$\int_{\Sigma_0 \cap B_r(q)} |A|^n \, d\mu_0 \leq \epsilon_0(n)$$

for some $r > 0$, then we have

$$\max_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(q)\cap\Sigma_0} |A| \leq \max\{1, \frac{2}{r}\}. \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. For any $p \in B_{\frac{r}{2}}(q) \cap \Sigma_0$, if $Q := |A|(p,0)$ satisfies $Q^{-1} < \frac{r}{2}$ and $Q > 1$, then by Lemma 3.10 we have

$$\int_{B_r(q)\cap\Sigma_0} |A|^n \, d\mu_0 \geq \int_{B_{Q^{-1}}(p)\cap\Sigma_0} |A|^n \, d\mu_0 \geq \epsilon_0(n),$$

where ϵ_0 is the constant determined by choosing $K = \Lambda = 1$ in Lemma 3.10. Therefore, we have $Q \leq 1$ or $Q^{-1} \geq \frac{r}{2}$, which implies (3.24). The corollary is proved.

□

3.3 Weak compactness

In this subsection, we focus on the case $n = 2$. As in Ricci flow [9], we study the refined sequence of mean curvature flow, which can be viewed as a sequence blown up from a rescaled mean curvature flow with bounded mean curvature and bounded energy.

Definition 3.12 (Refined sequences). *Let $\{(\Sigma_i^2, \mathbf{x}_i(t)), -1 \leq t \leq 1\}$ be a one-parameter family of closed smooth embedded surfaces satisfying the mean curvature flow equation (2.1). It is called a refined sequence if the following properties are satisfied for every i :*

(1) There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that $d(\Sigma_{i,t}, 0) \leq D$, where $d(\Sigma, 0)$ denotes the Euclidean distance from the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to the surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

(2) The mean curvature satisfies the inequality

$$\lim_{i\to+\infty} \max_{\Sigma_{i,t}\times[-1,1]} |H_i|(p,t) = 0; \tag{3.25}$$

(3) There is a uniform constant Λ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_{i,t}} |A_i|^2 \, d\mu_{i,t} \leq \Lambda, \quad \forall\, t \in [-1,1];$$

(4) There is uniform $N > 0$ such that for all $r > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$\mathrm{Area}_{g_i(t)}(B_r(p) \cap \Sigma_{i,t}) \leq N r^2, \quad \forall\, t \in [-1,1].$$

(5) There exist uniform constants $\bar{r}, \kappa > 0$ such that for any $r \in (0, \bar{r}]$ and any $p \in \Sigma_{i,t}$ we have

$$\mathrm{Area}_{g_i(t)}(B_r(p) \cap \Sigma_{i,t}) \geq \kappa r^2, \quad \forall\, t \in [-1,1].$$

Proposition 3.13 (Weak compactness of refined sequences). *If $\{(\Sigma_i^2, \mathbf{x}_i(t)), -1 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a refined sequence, then there exists a finite set of points $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and a smooth embedded minimal surface Σ_∞ such that a subsequence of $\{(\Sigma_i^2, \mathbf{x}_i(t)), -1 < t < 1\}$ converges in smooth topology, possibly with multiplicity at most N_0, to $\{\Sigma_\infty\}$ away from $\mathcal{S}_0$.*

Proof. We follow the argument of compactness of minimal surfaces (cf. White [47][48], or Colding-Minicozzi [13]). Fix large $\rho > 0$ and let $\Omega = B_\rho(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. By Property (1) in Definition 3.12, we have $\Sigma_{i,0} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ for large ρ. For any $U \subset \Omega$, we define the measures ν_i by

$$\nu_i(U) = \int_{U \cap \Sigma_{i,0}} |A_i|^2 \, d\mu_{i,0} \leq \Lambda.$$

The general compactness of Radon measures implies that there is a subsequence, which we still denote by ν_i, converges weakly to a Radon measure ν with $\nu(\Omega) \leq \Lambda$. We define the set

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{x \in \Omega \mid \nu(x) \geq \epsilon_0\},$$

where ϵ_0 is the constant in Corollary 3.11. It follows that $\mathcal{S}_0$ contains at most $\frac{\Lambda}{\epsilon_0}$ points, which is independent of ρ. Given any $y \in \Omega \backslash \mathcal{S}_0$. There exists some $s \in (0, \frac{1}{5})$ such that $B_{10s}(y) \subset \Omega$ and $\nu(B_{10s}(y)) < \epsilon_0$. Since $\nu_i \to \nu$, for i sufficiently large we have

$$\int_{B_{10s}(y) \cap \Sigma_{i,0}} |A_i|^2 \, d\mu_{i,0} < \epsilon_0.$$

Corollary 3.11 implies that for i sufficiently large we have the estimate

$$\max_{B_{5s}(y) \cap \Sigma_{i,0}} |A|(x,0) \leq \max\{1, \frac{1}{5s}\} \leq \frac{1}{5s}. \tag{3.26}$$

Note that by Property (2) in Definition 3.12 the mean curvature of $\Sigma_{i,t}$ tends to zero. By Theorem 3.8 there exists a universal constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that for large i and any small $s \in (0, \frac{1}{5})$ we have

$$\max_{B_{\frac{1}{16}r_0}(y) \cap \Sigma_{i,t}} |A|(x,t) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon r_0}, \quad \forall\, t \in [-1,1], \tag{3.27}$$

where $r_0 = 5s$. Therefore, we have all higher order estimates of the second fundamental form at any point away from the singular set. By Theorem 2.6 and a diagonal sequence argument we can show that a subsequence of $\{(\Sigma_i^2, \mathbf{x}_i(t)), -1 < t < 1\}$ converges in smooth topology, possibly with multiplicities, to an embedded minimal surface Σ_∞ away from the singular set $\mathcal{S}_0$. Property (4)-(5) imply that the multiplicity of the convergence is bounded by some constant N_0. Since Σ_∞ is minimal and the convergence is smooth outside $\mathcal{S}_0$, the same argument as in Proposition 7.14 of Colding-Minicozzi [13] shows that $\Sigma_\infty \cup \mathcal{S}_0$ is a smooth embedded minimal surface. The proposition is proved.

□

4 Multiplicity-one convergence of the rescaled mean curvature flow

In this section, we show that a rescaled mean curvature flow with mean curvature exponential decay will converge smoothly to a plane with multiplicity one.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{(\Sigma^2, \mathbf{x}(t)), 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$ be a rescaled mean curvature flow*

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} = -\Big(H - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}\rangle\Big)\mathbf{n} \tag{4.1}$$

satisfying

$$d(\Sigma_t, 0) \le D, \quad \textit{and} \quad \max_{\Sigma_t} |H(p,t)| \le \Lambda_0 e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \tag{4.2}$$

for two constants $D, \Lambda_0 > 0$. Then there exists a sequence of times $t_j \to +\infty$ such that Σ_{t_j} converge in smooth topology to a plane passing through the origin with multiplicity one.

4.1 Convergence away from singularities

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 4.1, for any sequence $t_i \to +\infty$ there is a subsequence, still denoted by $\{t_i\}$, such that $\{\Sigma_{t_i+t}, -T < t < T\}$ converges in smooth topology, possibly with multiplicities at most N_0, to a plane passing through the origin away from the space-time singular set $\mathcal{S} = \{(x,t) \,|\, t \in (-T,T), x \in e^{\frac{t}{2}}\mathcal{S}_0\}$, where $\mathcal{S}_0$ is the singular set in the convergence of the surfaces $\{\Sigma_{t_i}\}$.*

Proof. The proof divides into the following steps.

Step 1. The energy of Σ_t is uniformly bounded along the flow (4.1). In fact, we rescale the flow Σ_t by

$$s = T - e^{-t}, \quad \hat{\Sigma}_s = \sqrt{T-s}\,\Sigma_{-\log(T-s)} \tag{4.3}$$

such that $\{\hat{\Sigma}_s, T-1 \le s < T\}$ is a mean curvature flow satisfying (2.1) with mean curvature bounded by Λ_0. Note that the scalar curvature $\hat{S}$ of $\hat{\Sigma}_s$ satisfies $\hat{S} = \hat{H}^2 - |\hat{A}|^2$, where $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{A}$ denote the mean curvature and the second fundamental form of $\hat{\Sigma}_s$ respectively. By the Gauss-Bonnet theorem we have

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}_s} |\hat{A}|^2 \, d\hat{\mu}_s = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}_s} |\hat{H}|^2 \, d\hat{\mu}_s - 4\pi\chi(\Sigma) \le \Lambda_0^2 \mathrm{Area}(\Sigma_0) - 4\pi\chi(\Sigma),$$

where we used the fact that $\mathrm{Area}(\hat{\Sigma}_s)$ is non-increasing in s. Here $\chi(\Sigma)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of Σ. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3 the energy of Σ_t satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |A|^2 \, d\mu_t \le \Lambda_0^2 \mathrm{Area}(\Sigma_0) - 4\pi\chi(\Sigma). \tag{4.4}$$

Step 2. For each sequence $t_i \to +\infty$, we can obtain a refined sequence converging to a limit $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$. For any sequence $t_i \to +\infty$, we can rescale the flow Σ_t by

$$s = 1 - e^{-(t-t_i)}, \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s} = \sqrt{1-s}\,\Sigma_{t_i - \log(1-s)} \tag{4.5}$$

such that for each i the flow $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}, 1 - e^{t_i} \le s < 1\}$ is a mean curvature flow satisfying (2.1) with the following properties:

(a). For any small $\lambda > 0$, the mean curvature of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \max_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s} \times [1-e^{t_i}, 1-\lambda]} |\tilde{H}_i|(p,s) = 0;$$

(b). The energy of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}$ satisfies (4.4);

(c). Uniform upper bound on the area ratio;

(d). Uniform lower bound on the area ratio;

(e). There exists a constant $D' > 0$ such that $d(\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}, 0) \leq D'$ for any i.

In fact, Property (a) and (e) follow from the assumption (4.2), and Property (b) follows from (4.4). Property (c) follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, and Property (d) follows directly from Lemma 3.5. Therefore, by Definition 3.12 for any $T > 0$, small $\lambda > 0$ and any $s_0 \in [-T+1, -\lambda]$ the sequence $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s_0+\tau}, -1 < \tau < 1\}$ is a refined sequence. By Proposition 3.13 a subsequence of $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s_0+\tau}, -1 < \tau < 1\}$ converges in smooth topology, possibly with multiplicity at most N_0, to a smooth embedded minimal surface $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ away from a finite set of points $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \{q_1, \cdots, q_l\}$.

Claim 4.3. *Under the above assumptions, there exists a subsequence of $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}, -T < s < 1-\lambda\}$ such that it converges in smooth topology, possibly with multiplicity at most N_0, to a limit minimal surface $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ away from a singular set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \{q_1, \cdots, q_l\}$.*

Proof. We first let $s_0 = -T + 1$ and we get a subsequence, denoted by $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i_k^{(1)},s_0+\tau}, -1 < \tau < 1\}$, such that it converges in smooth topology, possibly with multiplicity at most N_0, to a limit minimal surface $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ away from a singular set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \{q_1, \cdots, q_l\}$. Then we take $s_1 = -T + 2$ and consider the convergence of the sequence $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i_k^{(1)},s_1+\tau}, -1 < \tau < 1\}$. Note that the two sequences $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i_k^{(1)},s_1+\tau}, -1 < \tau < 1\}$ and $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i_k^{(1)},s_0+\tau}, -1 < \tau < 1\}$ coincide on a nonempty time interval. Therefore, we can take a further subsequence of $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i_k^{(1)},s_1+\tau}, -1 < \tau < 1\}$ such that it converges to the same limit surface $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ away from the same singular set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Repeating this process at finite many times and we get a subsequence of $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}, -T < s < 1-\lambda\}$ such that $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}$ converges to $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ away from $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ for all $s \in (-T, 1-\lambda)$. The Claim is proved.

□

Step 3. Each limit $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ must be a plane through the origin. In fact, by Huisken's monotonicity formula, along the rescaled mean curvature flow (4.1) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Sigma_t} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4}}\, d\mu_t = -\int_{\Sigma_t} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4}} \Big|H - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}\rangle\Big|^2 d\mu_t. \tag{4.6}$$

This implies that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma_t} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4}} \Big|H - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}\rangle\Big|^2 d\mu_t < +\infty.$$

For any $t_i \to +\infty$, we rescale the flow (4.1) by (4.5) such that for each i the flow $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}, 1 - e^{t_i} \leq s < 1\}$ is a mean curvature flow satisfying (2.1) and we denote the solution by $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i,s}$. Therefore, for fixed $T > 0$, small $\lambda > 0$ and large i we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{i\to+\infty} \int_{-T}^{1-\lambda} ds \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}} e^{-\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i,s}|^2}{4(1-s)}} \Big|\tilde{H}_i - \frac{1}{2(1-s)}\langle \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i,s}, \mathbf{n}\rangle\Big|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{i,s} \\ = \quad &\lim_{t_i\to+\infty} \int_{t_i - \log(1+T)}^{t_i - \log\lambda} \int_{\Sigma_t} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4}} \Big|H - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}\rangle\Big|^2 d\mu_t = 0. \end{aligned}$$



Figure 1: Decomposition of the space

Since $\{(\Sigma, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i(p,s)), -T \le s \le 1-\lambda\}$ converges locally smoothly, possibly with multiplicity at most N_0, to $\{(\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\infty)\}$ away from $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, we have

$$\int_{-T}^{1-\lambda} ds \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty} e^{-\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\infty|}{4(1-s)}} \left| \tilde{H} - \frac{1}{2(1-s)} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\infty, \mathbf{n} \rangle \right|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{\infty,s} = 0.$$

Therefore, $\{(\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\infty(p,s)), -T < s < 1-\lambda\}$ satisfies the equation

$$\tilde{H} - \frac{1}{2(1-s)} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\infty, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0$$

away from the singular set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Since $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ is a smooth embedded minimal surface, we have $\tilde{H} = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\infty, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0$. By Lemma 2.2 $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ must be a plane passing through the origin. Let $\mathbf{x}_i(p,t) = \mathbf{x}(p, t_i + t)$ and $\Sigma_{i,t} = \Sigma_{t_i+t}$. Since $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_{i,s}, -T < s < 1-\lambda\}$ converges locally smoothly to $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ away from $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, the flow $\{\Sigma_{i,t}, -\log(1+T) < t < -\log\lambda\}$ also converge locally smoothly to the plane $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ away from the singular set $\mathcal{S} = \{(x,t) \mid t \in (-\log(1+T), -\log\lambda),\ x \in e^{\frac{t}{2}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$. The lemma is proved. □

4.2 Decomposition of spaces and a "monotone decreasing" quantity

To study the long-time behavior of the flow (4.1), we can decompose the space as follows.

Definition 4.4. *(1). We define the set* $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t) = \{y \in \Sigma_t \mid |y| < \epsilon^{-1},\ |A|(y,t) > \epsilon^{-1}\}$.

(2). The ball $B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0)$ *can be decomposed into three parts as follows:*

- *the high curvature part* $\mathbf{H}$*, which is defined by* $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x| < \epsilon^{-1}, d(x, \mathbf{S}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$*. Here* d *denotes the Euclidean distance in* $\mathbb{R}^3$.



Figure 2: Decomposition in the limit space

- *the thick part* $\mathbf{TK}$*, which is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{TK} &= \mathbf{TK}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t)\\ &= \Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \;\Big|\; |x| < \epsilon^{-1},\ \textit{there is a continuous curve } \gamma \subset B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0)\backslash(\mathbf{H} \cup \Sigma_t)\\ &\qquad\qquad \textit{connecting } x \textit{ and some } y \textit{ with } B(y,\epsilon) \subset B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0)\backslash(\mathbf{H} \cup \Sigma_t)\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

- *the thin part* $\mathbf{TN}$*, which is defined by* $\mathbf{TN} = \mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t) = B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0)\backslash(\mathbf{H} \cup \mathbf{TK})$.

Intuitively, the high curvature part $\mathbf{H}$ is the neighborhood of points with large second fundamental form(c.f. Figure 1). The thin part $\mathbf{TN}$ is the domain between the highest and lowest sheet. The thick part is the union of path connected components of the domain "outside" the sheets. Note that in the above definition, the existence of curve γ is to guarantee the path-connectedness. Because of the boundary issue, some points in $\mathbf{TK}$ may be very close to the points in $\mathbf{TN}$ or $\mathbf{H}$.

Remark 4.5. *The decomposition of space is motivated by the decomposition of Ricci flow time slices in Chen-Wang [10].*

Lemma 4.6. *For each fixed* ϵ*, we have* $\lim_{t\to\infty} |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t)| = 0$.

Proof. For otherwise, we can find an ϵ and a sequence $t_i \to \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})| \geq \kappa_0 > 0. \tag{4.7}$$

However, Σ_{t_i} converges locally smoothly to a plane Σ_∞ passing through the origin away from the singular set $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \Sigma_\infty$. Therefore, the sets $\mathbf{S}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})$ converge to $\mathcal{S}_0$ as $i \to +\infty$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that Σ_∞ is determined by $x_3 = 0$ and the singular set $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(1,0,0)\}$. Then the high curvature parts $\mathbf{H}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})$ converge to $B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}((1,0,0))$(c.f. Figure 2).

Note that $B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0)\backslash(\Sigma_\infty \cup B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}((1,0,0)))$ contains two parts $\hat{B}^+ \cup \hat{B}^-$, where

$$\hat{B}^+ = \Big\{(x_1,x_2,x_3) \;\Big|\; x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2 < \epsilon^{-2},\ (x_1-1)^2+x_2^2+x_3^2 > \frac{\epsilon^2}{4},\ x_3 > 0\Big\},$$
$$\hat{B}^- = \Big\{(x_1,x_2,x_3) \;\Big|\; x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2 < \epsilon^{-2},\ (x_1-1)^2+x_2^2+x_3^2 > \frac{\epsilon^2}{4},\ x_3 < 0\Big\}.$$

Fix an arbitrary point $x \in \hat{B}^+$. It is not hard to see that x can be connected to $(0,0,1)$ by a continuous curve $\gamma \subset \hat{B}^+$. Furthermore, it is clear that $B_\epsilon((0,0,1)) \cap B_\epsilon((1,0,0)) = \emptyset$. Similar argument applies for $\hat{B}^-$. Therefore, we see that $\hat{B}^+ \cup \hat{B}^-$ are contained in the limit of $\mathbf{TK}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})$. Recall that $\mathbf{H}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})$ converges to $B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}((1,0,0))$. Following from its definition, it is clear that the Hausdorff limit of $\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})$ is a subset of $(B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0) \cap \Sigma_\infty) \backslash B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}((1,0,0))$. In particular, we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, t_i)| = 0.$$

This contradicts the assumption (4.7). □

It is not hard to observe that

Lemma 4.7. *If Σ_∞ has multiplicity more than one, then for sufficiently large t_i we have*

$$|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})| > 0.$$

Proof. Since Σ_t is embedded and Σ_{t_i} converges locally smoothly to the limit plane Σ_∞, all components of $(\Sigma_{t_i} \cap B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0)) \backslash \mathbf{H}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})$ lie in the $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$-neighborhood of the plane Σ_∞ for large t_i. By the definition of $\mathbf{TN}$, $\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})$ is nonempty and we have $|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})| > 0$.

□

However, in general we do not know whether $|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t)|$ is a continuous function of t, but we can choose t_i carefully such that $|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t)|$ is bounded on a time interval.

Lemma 4.8. *There is a sequence of times $t_i \to \infty$ such that*

$$\sup_{t_i \le t \le t_i + i} |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t)| \le 2|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{t_i})|. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Fix $s_1 = 1$, then search for time $t \in [s_1, s_1 + 1]$ satisfying $|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t)| > 2|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{s_1})|$. If no such time exists, then we set $t_1 = s_1$. Otherwise, we choose such a time and denote it by $s_1^{(1)}$. Then search the time interval $[s_1^{(1)}, s_1^{(1)} + 1]$. Inductively, we search $[s_1^{(k)}, s_1^{(k)} + 1]$. If we have

$$\sup_{t \in [s_1^{(k)}, s_1^{(k)} + 1]} |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t)| \le 2|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{s_1^{(k)}})|,$$

then we denote $t_1 = s_1^{(k)}$ and stop the searching process. Otherwise, choose a time $s_1^{(k+1)} \in [s_1^{(k)}, s_1^{(k)} + 1]$ with more than doubled $|\mathbf{TN}|$ value and continue the process. Note that

$$|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{s_1^{(k)}})| \ge 2^k |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{s_1})| \to \infty, \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.$$

This process must stop in finite steps. After we find t_1, set $s_2^{(0)} = t_1 + 1$ and continue the previous process to find time in $[s_2^{(0)}, s_2^{(0)} + 2]$ with more than doubled $|\mathbf{TN}|$-value, with slight change that the time-interval has length 2. Similarly, for some finite k, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [s_2^{(k)}, s_2^{(k)} + 2]} |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_t)| \le 2|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{s_2^{(k)}})|.$$

Then we define $t_2 = s_2^{(k)}$. Inductively, after we find t_l, we set $s_{l+1}^{(0)} = t_l + l$. Then start the process to search time in $[s_{l+1}^{(0)}, s_{l+1}^{(0)} + l + 1]$ with more than doubled $|\mathbf{TN}|$ value. This process is well defined. From its construction, it is clear that $t_i \to \infty$ and satisfies (4.8). The lemma is proved.

□

4.3 Construction of auxiliary functions

In this subsection, we will construct a function which will be used to show that the limit plane is L-stable. For any sequence $t_i \to +\infty$, we assume that the multiplicity of the convergence of $\{\Sigma_{i,t}, -T < t < T\}$ is is at least two. Without loss of generality, we assume that the limit plane is $\Sigma_\infty = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \,|\, x_3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. By Lemma 4.2, $\Sigma_{i,t}$ converges in smooth topology to Σ_∞ away from the singular set $\mathcal{S}_t = e^{\frac{t}{2}}\mathcal{S}_0$, where $\mathcal{S}_0$ consists of finite many points. Fix small $\epsilon > 0$. We define

$$\Omega_\epsilon(t) = (\Sigma_\infty \cap B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0)) \setminus \cup_{p\in\mathcal{S}_t} B_\epsilon(p) \tag{4.9}$$

and for any time interval $I \subset (-T, T)$ we define $\Omega_\epsilon(I) = \bigcap_{t\in I} \Omega_\epsilon(t)$. Moreover, we define

$$\hat{\Omega}_\epsilon(t) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (x_1, x_2, 0) \in \Omega_\epsilon(t), x_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For any $t \in (-T, T)$ and large t_i the surface $\Sigma_{i,t} \cap \hat{\Omega}_\epsilon(t)$ is a union of graphs over the set $\Omega_\epsilon(t)$. By embeddedness and orientability, these graphs are ordered by height. Let $u_i^+(x,t)$ and $u_i^-(x,t)$ be the functions representing the top and bottom sheets (which we denote by $\Sigma_{i,t}^+$ and $\Sigma_{i,t}^-$ respectively) over $\Omega_\epsilon(t)$. By the convergence property of the flow $\{(\Sigma, \mathbf{x}_i(t)), -T < t < T\}$, for any time interval $I \subset (-T, T)$ the functions $u_i^+(x,t)$ and $u_i^-(x,t)$ can be extended to be smooth functions on $\Omega_\epsilon(I)\times I$.

By definition, the surfaces $\Sigma_{i,t}^+$ and $\Sigma_{i,t}^-$ can be expressed by

$$\mathbf{x}_{i,1}(x,t) = \mathbf{x}_\infty(x) + u_i^+(x,t)\mathbf{n}_\infty(x), \quad \mathbf{x}_{i,2}(x,t) = \mathbf{x}_\infty(x) + u_i^-(x,t)\mathbf{n}_\infty(x),$$

where $x \in \Omega_\epsilon(t)$ and $\mathbf{n}_\infty$ denotes the unit normal vector field on Σ_∞. Then $u_i^+(x,t)$ and $u_i^-(x,t)$ satisfy the equation of rescaled mean curvature flow on $\Omega_\epsilon((-T,T)) \times (-T,T)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\Big) - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 u_{x_1} + x_2 u_{x_2}) + \frac{u}{2} \\ &= \Delta_0 u - \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{1+|\nabla u|^2} - \frac{1}{2}\langle x, \nabla u\rangle + \frac{u}{2},\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, 0) \in \Omega_\epsilon((-T,T))$ and Δ_0 is the standard Laplacian operator on the plane Σ_∞. We define $u_i = u_i^+ - u_i^-$. Let $f_{i,s} = u_i^- + s u_i$ for $s \in [0,1]$. Then $f_{i,0} = u_i^-$ and $f_{i,1} = u_i^+$. We define

$$G(s) = \frac{\nabla^2 f_{i,s}(\nabla f_{i,s}, \nabla f_{i,s})}{1+|\nabla f_{i,s}|^2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$G(1) - G(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial G(s)}{\partial s}\, ds = a^{pq} u_{i,pq} + b^p u_{i,p}, \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$a^{pq} = \int_0^1 \Big((1+|\nabla f_{i,s}|)^{-1}\nabla_p f_{i,s}\nabla_q f_{i,s}\Big)\, ds, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b^p = \int_0^1 \Big(&2(1+|\nabla f_{i,s}|)^{-1}\nabla_k\nabla_p f_{i,s}\nabla_k f_{i,s} \\ &-2(1+|\nabla f_{i,s}|)^{-2}\nabla_p f_{i,s}\nabla^2 f_{i,s}(\nabla f_{i,s}, \nabla f_{i,s})\Big)\, ds.\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Combining (4.10) with (4.11), we get the equation of u_i

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = \Delta_0 u_i - \frac{1}{2}\langle x, \nabla u_i\rangle + \frac{u_i}{2} - a^{pq}u_{i,pq} - b^p u_{i,p} \tag{4.14}$$

for any $(x,t) \in \Omega_\epsilon((-T,T)) \times (-T,T)$. Fix some $x_0 \in \Sigma_\infty \setminus \cup_{t\in(-T,T)} \mathcal{S}_t$. Then for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ we have $x_0 \in \Omega_\epsilon((-T,T))$. We define

$$w_i(x,t) = \frac{u_i(x, t_i + t)}{u_i(x_0, t_i + \epsilon')}, \quad \forall\ (x,t) \in \Omega_\epsilon((-T,T)) \times (-T,T), \tag{4.15}$$

where $\epsilon' > 0$ will be determined later. Then $w_i(x,t)$ is a positive function with $w_i(x_0,\epsilon') = 1$ and satisfies the equation on $\Omega_\epsilon((-T,T)) \times (-T,T)$

$$\frac{\partial w_i}{\partial t} = \Delta_0 w_i - \frac{1}{2}\langle x, \nabla w_i\rangle + \frac{w_i}{2} - a^{pq}w_{i,pq} - b^p w_{i,p}, \tag{4.16}$$

where a^{pq} and b^p are defined by (4.12) and (4.13). Note that for fixed ϵ and T the coefficients a^{pq} and b^p are uniformly bounded as t_i is large and tend to zero as $t_i \to +\infty$.

Remark 4.9. *Note that $u_i(x,t)$ can be extended to a smooth function, still denoted by $u_i(x,t)$, on $\Omega_{\epsilon,i}(I) \times I$ for any time interval $I \subset (-T,T)$. Therefore, (4.14) and (4.16) also hold on $\Omega_\epsilon(I) \times I$.*

Now we estimate $|\mathbf{TN}|$ of the surface Σ_{t_i+t} for any $t \in (-T,T)$.

Lemma 4.10. *For any sequence $\{t_i\}$ chosen in Lemma 4.2, there exists $t_T > 0$ such that for any $t \in (-T,T)$ and $t_i > t_T$ we have*

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon(t)} u_i(x,t)\, d\mu_\infty \le |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})| \le \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{5}}(t)} u_i(x,t)\, d\mu_\infty, \tag{4.17}$$

where $d\mu_\infty$ denotes the standard volume form of Σ_∞.

Proof. Recall that we assumed $\Sigma_\infty = \{(x_1,x_2,x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 = 0\}$. For any $t \in (-T,T)$ and large t_i, we define

$$\Phi(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t}) = \{(x_1,x_2,x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x' := (x_1,x_2,0) \in \Omega_\epsilon(t), u_i^-(x',t) \le x_3 \le u_i^+(x',t)\}.$$

Then the Euclidean volume of $\Phi(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})$ can be calculated by

$$|\Phi(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})| = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon(t)} u_i(x,t)\, d\mu_\infty. \tag{4.18}$$

We claim that for large t_i and any $t \in (-T,T)$

$$\Phi(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t}) \subset \mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t}) \subset \Phi(\frac{\epsilon}{5}, \Sigma_{i,t}). \tag{4.19}$$

In fact, since $\Sigma_{i,t}$ converges locally smoothly to Σ_∞ away from $\mathcal{S}_t$, for any $t \in (-T,T)$ and large t_i we have $\mathbf{S}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t}) \subset B_{\frac{\epsilon}{10}}(\mathcal{S}_t) = \cup_{p\in\mathcal{S}_t} B_{\frac{\epsilon}{10}}(p)$. By the definition of $\mathbf{H}$, we have

$$\mathbf{H}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t}) \subset B_{\frac{3}{4}\epsilon}(\mathcal{S}_t) \cap B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0). \tag{4.20}$$

For any $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Phi(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})$, we have $(x_1, x_2, 0) \in \Omega_\epsilon(t)$, which implies that $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \notin B_\epsilon(\mathcal{S}_t)$. Therefore, $x \notin \mathbf{H}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})$ and we have $\Phi(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t}) \subset \mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})$ when t_i is large. On the other hand, for any $x \in \mathbf{TN}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})$, we have $x \notin \mathbf{TK}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})$ and $x \notin \mathbf{H}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})$, which implies that $d(x, \mathbf{S}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ by the definition of $\mathbf{H}$. Since $\mathcal{S}_t$ consists of finite many points, $d(x, \mathcal{S}_t)$ is attained by some point $q_0 \in \mathcal{S}_t$. Thus, we have

$$d(x, \mathcal{S}_t) = \min_{y \in \mathcal{S}_t} d(x, y) = d(x, q_0) \geq \max_{z \in \mathbf{S} \cap B_{\frac{\epsilon}{10}}(q_0)} (d(x, z) - d(z, q_0)) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \tag{4.21}$$

where we used the fact that $d(x, z) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $d(z, q_0) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{10}$. Moreover, since $x \notin \mathbf{TK}(\epsilon, \Sigma_{i,t})$, x is sufficiently close to Σ_∞. This together with (4.21) implies that $x \in \Phi(\frac{\epsilon}{5}, \Sigma_{i,t})$ when t_i is large. Therefore, (4.19) is proved.

Thus, the inequality (4.17) follows from (4.18) and (4.19). The lemma is proved.

□

Using Lemma 4.8, we get some uniform estimates on the functions w_i.

Lemma 4.11. *Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 1$. Let $\{t_i\}$ be the sequence chosen in Lemma 4.8. There exist $t_T > 0$ and $\epsilon' = \epsilon'(\mathcal{S}_0, \epsilon) > 0$ satisfying the following property. Let $w_i(x, t)$ be the function defined by (4.15) and $\epsilon'(\mathcal{S}_0, \epsilon)$. For any $t_i > t_T$, any time interval $I = [a, b] \subset [1, T-1)$ and any set $K \subset\subset \Omega_\epsilon([a, b + \frac{1}{2}])$, there exist constants $C_1 = C_1(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, x_0) > 0$ and $C_2 = C_2(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, a, b, x_0) > 0$ such that*

$$C_2(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, a, b, x_0) < w_i(x, t) \leq C_1(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, x_0), \quad \forall\, (x, t) \in K \times I. \tag{4.22}$$

Moreover, at time $t = a$ there exists $C_3 = C_3(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, a, x_0) > 0$ independent of b such that

$$w_i(x, a) \geq C_3(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, a, x_0) > 0, \quad \forall\, x \in K. \tag{4.23}$$

Here x_0 is the point chosen in (4.15).

Proof. Since the sequence $\{(\Sigma, \mathbf{x}_i(t)), -T < t < T\}$ converges locally smoothly to the plane Σ_∞ away from the singular set $\mathcal{S}$, for large t_i the coefficients of (4.16) satisfy

$$|a^{pq}| + |b^p| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall\, (x, t) \in \Omega_\epsilon(I) \times I, \tag{4.24}$$

where I is any time interval contained in $(-T, T)$. Since $\mathcal{S}_t = e^{\frac{t}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0$, there exists $\epsilon' = \epsilon'(\mathcal{S}_0, \epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{5}}(0) \subset \Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{6}}(t), \quad \forall\, t \in [0, \epsilon']. \tag{4.25}$$

For such ϵ' we normalize the function $u_i(x, t)$ as in (4.15) and we have $w_i(x_0, \epsilon') = 1$. Now we apply the Harnack inequality for the parabolic equation (4.16), and the readers are referred to Theorem A.2 in the appendix or Corollary 7.42 of [26] for more details. Note that the equation (4.16) satisfies the structure inequalities (A.2)-(A.6) with $f = g = h = 0$, we can apply Theorem A.2 to the function $w_i(x, t)$ on $\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{5}}(0) \times [0, \epsilon']$ and we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{5}}(0)} w_i(x, 0)\, d\mu_\infty \leq C(\epsilon, \epsilon', x_0) w_i(x_0, \epsilon') = C(\epsilon, \mathcal{S}_0, x_0), \tag{4.26}$$

where we used the fact that $w_i(x_0,\epsilon')=1$. On the other hand, Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.10 imply that for any $t\in[0,i]\cap[0,T)$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_\epsilon(t)} u_i(x,t)\,d\mu_\infty &\le |\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon,\Sigma_{t_i+t})|\le 2|\mathbf{TN}(\epsilon,\Sigma_{t_i})|\\ &\le 2\int_{\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{5}}(0)} u_i(x,0)\,d\mu_\infty. \end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

Combining (4.27) with the definition (4.15) of w_i, we have the following inequality for any $t\in[0,i]\cap[0,T)$

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon(t)} w_i(x,t)\,d\mu_\infty \le 2\int_{\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{5}}(0)} w_i(x,0)\,d\mu_\infty \le C(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,x_0),\tag{4.28}$$

where we used (4.26) in the last inequality. Fix $K\subset\subset\Omega_\epsilon([a,b+\frac12])$, where $I=[a,b]\subset[1,T-1)$ by the assumption. We apply Theorem A.2 to the function $w_i(x,t)$ on $K\times[a,b+\frac12]$ and we get

$$w_i(x,t)\le C(\epsilon,K)\int_{\Omega_\epsilon(t+\frac12)} w_i(x,t+\frac12)\,d\mu_\infty \le C(\epsilon,K,\mathcal{S}_0,x_0),\quad \forall\,(x,t)\in K\times I,$$

where we used (4.28) in the last inequality. Therefore, $w_i(x,t)$ is bounded from above.

We next show that $w_i(x,t)$ has a positive lower bound on $K\times I$. Note that there exists $\epsilon''=\epsilon''(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,a)>0$ such that

$$\Omega_\epsilon([a,b])\subset\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}([a-\epsilon'',b]).$$

Since $x_0\in\Omega_\epsilon(T)\subset\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}([a-\epsilon'',b])$ and the set $\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}([a-\epsilon'',b])$ is path-connected, there exists a continuous path $\gamma(s)\subset\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}([a-\epsilon'',b])$ connecting x_0 and any point $y\in K$ with the property that the distance from $\gamma(s)$ to the boundary of $\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}([a-\epsilon'',b])$ is at least $\delta=\delta(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,K,a,b,x_0)>0$. Applying Theorem A.2 for $\gamma(s)$ and $\Omega'=\Omega_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}([a-\epsilon'',b])$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(x,t) &\ge C(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,K,a,b,x_0)w_i(x_0,a-\epsilon'')\ge C(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,K,a,b,x_0)w_i(x_0,\epsilon')\\ &= C(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,K,a,b,x_0),\quad \forall\,(x,t)\in K\times I,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $w_i(x_0,\epsilon')=1$ and we assumed that ϵ'' and ϵ' are small such that $a-\epsilon''>\epsilon'$. Here C might be different from one another. Note that when $t=a$, the constant δ can be chosen to be independent of b and we have the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(x,a) &\ge C(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,K,a,x_0)w_i(x_0,a-\epsilon'')\ge C(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,K,a,x_0)w_i(x_0,\epsilon')\\ &= C(\epsilon,\mathcal{S}_0,K,a,x_0),\quad \forall\,x\in K.\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 4.12. *The same conditions as in Lemma 4.11. As $t_i\to+\infty$, we can take a subsequence of the functions $w_i(x,t)$ such that it converges in C^2 topology on any compact subset $K\subset\subset(\Sigma_\infty\cap B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0))\setminus\cup_{t\in[a,b+\frac12]}\mathcal{S}_t$, where $[a,b]\subset[1,T-1)$, to a positive function $w(x,t)$ satisfying*

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}=Lw:=\Delta_0 w-\frac12\langle x,\nabla w\rangle+\frac12 w,\quad \forall\,(x,t)\in K\times[a,b].\tag{4.29}$$

Moreover, there exist constants $C_1(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, x_0), C_2(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, a, b, x_0) > 0$ *such that*

$$C_2(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, a, b, x_0) \le w(x,t) \le C_1(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, x_0), \quad \forall\, (x,t) \in K \times [a,b], \tag{4.30}$$

and at time $t = a$ *there exists* $C_3 = C_3(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, a, x_0) > 0$ *independent of* b *such that*

$$w_i(x,a) \ge C_3(\epsilon, K, \mathcal{S}_0, a, x_0) > 0, \quad \forall\, x \in K. \tag{4.31}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.11, the function $w_i(x,t)$ is uniformly bounded from above and below. By the interior Hölder estimate (cf. Theorem 4 in [1] or Theorem 12.3 in [26]) $w_i(x,t)$ has local space-time C^α estimates. Thus, by Theorem 4.9 in [26] $w_i(x,t)$ has local space-time $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates, which implies that a subsequence converges uniformly in C^2 to a nonnegative function $w(x,t)$ on $(x,t) \in K \times I$ satisfying (4.29)-(4.31). The lemma is proved.

□

4.4 Proof of the multiplicity-one convergence

In this subsection, we shall show Theorem 4.1, i.e., the limit plane is multiplicity one, under the special condition H decays exponentially fast. Our argument largely follows that of Colding-Minicozzi [15]. However, some new technical difficulties need to be addressed. For example, the proof of the limit surface to be L-stable(c.f. Lemma 4.13) is technically different from the classical one. Due to the loss of general self-shinker equation, we need to delicately choose subsequence and apply parabolic version of maximum principle. The final contradiction relies heavily on the discussion in previous subsections.

Lemma 4.13. *Fix* $\epsilon > 0$*. Let* $\{t_i\}$ *be the sequence of times in Lemma 4.8 and* Σ_∞ *the limit plane of the sequence* $\{(\Sigma, \mathbf{x}(t_i + t)), -T < t < T\}$*. Then we have*

$$-\int_{\Sigma_\infty} (\phi L \phi) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \ge 0, \tag{4.32}$$

for any function $\phi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Sigma_\infty \backslash \{0\})$*, where* $W_0^{1,2}(\Sigma_\infty \backslash \{0\})$ *denotes the set of all functions* $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma_\infty)$ *with compact support in* $\Sigma_\infty \backslash \{0\}$*, and* L *is defined by (4.29).*

Proof. Consider the singular set $\mathcal{S}_t = e^{\frac{t}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0$ of the convergence of the sequence $\{(\Sigma, \mathbf{x}(t_i + t)), -T < t < T\}$, where $\{t_i\}$ is the sequence of times in Lemma 4.8. Since $\mathcal{S}_0$ consists of finite many points, all points in $\mathcal{S}_t \backslash \{0\}$ will move further away from the point $\{0\}$ when t is increasing. Therefore, any compact set will contain no points in $\mathcal{S}_t \backslash \{0\}$ when t is large. Let $\min \mathcal{S}_0 := \min\{|y| \,|\, y \in \mathcal{S}_0 \backslash \{0\}\} > 0$. Then for any $t > t_\epsilon := 2 \log \frac{1}{\epsilon \min \mathcal{S}_0}$ and any $y_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0 \backslash \{0\}$ we have $e^{\frac{t}{2}} |y_0| > \epsilon^{-1}$. This implies that $(\mathcal{S}_t \backslash \{0\}) \cap B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0) = \emptyset$ for any $t > t_\epsilon$. Note that t_ϵ is independent of T and we choose T large such that $T > t_\epsilon$.

Let $A(\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,|\, \epsilon < |x| < \epsilon^{-1}\}$. For any $\phi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Sigma_\infty \cap A(\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}))$, we have $K = \overline{\mathrm{Supp}(\phi)} \subset \Sigma_\infty \cap A(\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1})$. Let $I = (t_\epsilon, T)$. By the definition of t_ϵ, we know that $K \subset \Omega_\epsilon(I)$. We apply Lemma 4.12 for the compact set K and the time interval I, and we get the limit function $w(x,t)$ which is positive on $K \times I$. Let $v := \log w$. Then v is bounded from above and below by (4.30). Note that v satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta_0 v + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \langle x, \nabla v \rangle + |\nabla v|^2, \quad \forall\, (x,t) \in K \times I.$$

For any $t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Sigma_\infty} \mathrm{div}\Big(\phi^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \nabla v\Big) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_\infty} \Big(2\phi\langle \nabla\phi, \nabla v\rangle + \Big(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} - |\nabla v|^2\Big)\phi^2\Big) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma_\infty} \Big(|\nabla\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\phi^2\Big) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for any $t \in I$,

$$-\int_{\Sigma_\infty} (\phi L\phi) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \geq -\int_{\Sigma_\infty} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\phi^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} = -\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Sigma_\infty} v\phi^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}. \tag{4.33}$$

Integrating both sides of (4.33), we have

$$-\int_{t_\epsilon}^{T} dt \int_{\Sigma_\infty} (\phi L\phi) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \geq \int_{\Sigma_\infty} v\phi^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}\Big|_{t=t_\epsilon} - \int_{\Sigma_\infty} v\phi^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}\Big|_{t=T} \geq -C,$$

where C is independent of T by (4.30) and (4.31). Thus, we have

$$-\int_{\Sigma_\infty} (\phi L\phi) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \geq -\frac{C}{T - t_\epsilon}. \tag{4.34}$$

Note that t_ϵ is independent of T. Letting $T \to +\infty$ in (4.34) we have the inequality (4.32) holds for any $\phi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Sigma_\infty \cap A(\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}))$. Since ϵ is arbitrary and the limit plane Σ_∞ is unique up to rotations, we know that (4.32) holds for any $\phi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Sigma_\infty \backslash \{0\})$. The lemma is proved.

□

Following the argument of Gulliver-Lawson [21], we show that Σ_∞ is L-stable across the singular set $\mathcal{S}$. In other words, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.14. *The same assumption as in Lemma 4.13. For any smooth function ϕ with compact support in Σ_∞, we have*

$$-\int_{\Sigma_\infty} (\phi L\phi) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \geq 0. \tag{4.35}$$

Proof. Choose $0 < \delta < R < 1$ and define the function

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\log R}{\log |x|}, & 0 < |x| < R, \\ 1, & |x| \geq R \end{cases}$$

and the function $\beta(x) = \beta(|x|)$ such that $\beta(x) = 0$ for $|x| < \frac{\delta}{2}$, $\beta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \geq \delta$, $0 \leq \beta(x) \leq 1$ and $|\nabla\beta| \leq \frac{3}{\delta}$. Suppose that ϕ is any function with compact support on Σ_∞. Moreover, we can check that

$$\int_{\Sigma_\infty} |\nabla\eta|^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \leq \frac{C}{|\log R|}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Sigma_\infty} |\nabla\beta|^2 e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \leq C, \tag{4.36}$$

where C are universal constants. Note that $\eta\beta\phi$ has compact support in $\Sigma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{S}$. Using the inequality

$$(a + b + c)^2 \leq 2\Big(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\Big)(a^2 + b^2) + (1 + \tau)c^2, \quad \forall\, \tau > 0,$$

we have

$$|\nabla(\eta\beta\phi)|^2 \le 2\Big(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\Big)\phi^2\Big(\beta^2|\nabla\eta|^2+\eta^2|\nabla\beta|^2\Big)+(1+\tau)\eta^2\beta^2|\nabla\phi|^2. \tag{4.37}$$

We normalize ϕ such that $|\phi|\le 1$. Note that $\eta\beta\phi\in W_0^{1,2}(\Sigma_\infty\backslash\{0\})$. Combining (4.37) with Lemma 4.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\le -\int_{\Sigma_\infty}\Big(\eta\beta\phi\cdot L(\eta\beta\phi)\Big)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}\\
&= \int_{\Sigma_\infty}\Big(|\nabla(\eta\beta\phi)|^2-\frac{1}{2}(\eta\beta\phi)^2\Big)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}\\
&\le (1+\tau)\int_{\Sigma_\infty}\Big(|\nabla\phi|^2-\frac{1}{2}\phi^2\Big)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}+C\Big(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\Big)\frac{1}{|\log R|}+C\Big(\frac{\log R}{\log\delta}\Big)^2\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma_\infty}\Big(1+\tau-(\eta\beta)^2\Big)\phi^2\,e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}},
\end{aligned}$$

where C are universal constants. Taking $\delta\to 0$ and then $R\to 0$, we have

$$0\le(1+\tau)\int_{\Sigma_\infty}\Big(|\nabla\phi|^2-\frac{1}{2}\phi^2\Big)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}+\frac{\tau}{2}\int_{\Sigma_\infty}\phi^2\,e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}.$$

Letting $\tau\to 0$, we get the inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma_\infty}\Big(|\nabla\phi|^2-\frac{1}{2}\phi^2\Big)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}\ge 0. \tag{4.38}$$

The lemma is proved.

□

The rest of the argument is the same as that in Colding-Minicozzi [15]. If ϕ is identically one on B_R and cuts off linearly to zero on $B_{R+1}\backslash B_R$, then (4.38) implies that

$$0\le\int_{\Sigma_\infty}\Big(|\nabla\phi|^2-\frac{1}{2}\phi^2\Big)e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}\le\int_{\Sigma_\infty\backslash B_R}e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4}}-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R\cap\Sigma_\infty}e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4}}. \tag{4.39}$$

Note that the right hand side of (4.39) is negative when R is sufficiently large. Therefore, we get a contradiction. Thus, for the sequence of times $\{t_i\}$ in Lemma 4.8, the multiplicity of the convergence of $\{(\Sigma,\mathbf{x}(t_i+t)),-T<t<T\}$ is one and the convergence is smooth everywhere. Theorem 4.1 is proved.

5 Proof of the extension Theorem

For the convenience of readers, we copy down the statement of our main extension theorem, i.e., Theorem 1.1 as follows.

Theorem 5.1. *If $\mathbf{x}(p,t):\Sigma^2\to\mathbb{R}^3(t\in[0,T))$ is a closed smooth embedded mean curvature flow with the first singular time $T<+\infty$, then $\sup_{\Sigma\times[0,T)}|H|(p,t)=+\infty$.*

Proof. Suppose not, we can find (x_0, T) such that the mean curvature flow $\mathbf{x}(p,t)$ blows up at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ at time T with

$$\Lambda_0 := \sup_{\Sigma\times[0,T)} |H|(p,t) < +\infty. \tag{5.1}$$

Then Corollary 3.6 of [18] implies that for all $t < T$ we have

$$d(\Sigma_t, x_0) \le 2\sqrt{T-t}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $d(\Sigma_t, x_0)$ denotes the Euclidean distance from the point x_0 to the surface Σ_t. We can rescale the flow Σ_t by

$$s = -\log(T-t), \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_s = e^{\frac{s}{2}}\Big(\Sigma_{T-e^{-s}} - x_0\Big)$$

such that the flow $\{(\tilde{\Sigma}_s, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(p,s)), -\log T \le s < +\infty\}$ satisfies the following properties:

(1) $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(p,s)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial s} = -\Big(\tilde{H} - \frac{1}{2}\langle \tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{n}\rangle\Big)\mathbf{n}; \tag{5.3}$$

(2) the mean curvature of $\tilde{\Sigma}_s$ satisfies $|\tilde{H}(p,s)| \le \Lambda_0 e^{-\frac{s}{2}}$ for some $\Lambda_0 > 0$;

(3) $d(\tilde{\Sigma}_s, 0) \le 2$.

By Theorem 4.1, there exists a sequence of times $s_i \to +\infty$ such that $\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_i}$ converges smoothly to a plane passing through the origin with multiplicity one. Consider the heat-kernel-type function

$$\Phi_{(x_0,T)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi(T-t)} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4(T-t)}}, \quad \forall\ (x,t) \in \Sigma_t \times [0,T).$$

Huisken's monotonicity formula(cf. Theorem 3.1 in [31]) implies that

$$\Theta(\Sigma_t, x_0, T) := \lim_{t\to T} \int_{\Sigma_t} \Phi_{(x_0,T)}(x,t)\, d\mu_t = \lim_{s_i\to+\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_i}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}\, d\tilde{\mu}_{s_i} = 1,$$

which implies that (x_0, T) is a regular point by Theorem 3.1 of B. White [46]. Thus, the unnormalized mean curvature flow $\{(\Sigma, \mathbf{x}(t)), 0 \le t < T\}$ cannot blow up at (x_0, T), which contradicts our assumption. The theorem is proved.

□

Appendix A The parabolic Harnack inequality

In the appendix, we collect the results on the parabolic Harnack inequality of Aronson-Serrin in [1] for the reader's convenience.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the space-time cylinder $Q = \Omega \times (0,T)$ for some fixed $T > 0$. Let $u(x,t)$ be a solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mathrm{div}\mathcal{A}(x,t,u,u_x) + \mathcal{B}(x,t,u,u_x), \tag{A.1}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_n)$ is a vector function of (x, t, u, u_x) and $\mathcal{B}$ is a scalar function of the same variables. Assume that the functions $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot \mathcal{A}(x,t,u,p) &\geq a|p|^2 - b^2u^2 - f^2, && \text{(A.2)} \\ |\mathcal{B}(x,t,u,p)| &\leq c|p| + d|u| + g, && \text{(A.3)} \\ |\mathcal{A}(x,t,u,p)| &\leq \bar{a}|p| + e|u| + h, && \text{(A.4)} \end{aligned}$$

where $a, \bar{a}$ are positive constants, and the coefficients $b, c, \cdots, h$ are nonnegative smooth functions on $\bar{Q}$. Note that in [1] the coefficients is assumed to be in some functional spaces, but here we only consider the smooth case.

Theorem A.1. *Let u be a nonnegative weak solution of (A.1) in Q. Suppose that Ω' is a convex subdomain of Ω which has a positive distance δ from the boundary of Ω. Then for any x, y in Ω' and all s, t satisfying $0 < s < t < T$ we have*

$$u(y,s) + k \leq (u(x,t) + k)e^{C\left(\frac{|x-y|^2}{t-s} + \frac{t-s}{R} + 1\right)}, \tag{A.5}$$

where C depends only on the coefficients of (A.2)-(A.6), $k = \|f\| + \|g\| + \|h\|$ and $R = \min(1, s, \delta^2)$. Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the L^∞ norm.

Note that in Theorem A.1 the subdomain Ω' is assumed to be convex. However, we can slightly modify Theorem A.1 such that it still holds for a general subdomain Ω' in Ω. In fact, for any two points $x, y \in \Omega'$ there is a continuous path $\gamma(s) \subset \Omega'$ connecting x and y, and we can apply Theorem A.1 on the small balls centered at each point $p \in \gamma(s)$. Therefore, we have the following result.

Theorem A.2. *Let u be a nonnegative weak solution of (A.1) in Q. Suppose that Ω' is a subdomain of Ω which has a positive distance δ from the boundary of Ω. Then for any x, y in Ω' and all s, t satisfying $0 < s < t < T$ we have*

$$u(y,s) + k \leq (u(x,t) + k)e^{C\left(\frac{L(\gamma(x,y))^2}{t-s} + \frac{t-s}{R} + 1\right)}, \tag{A.6}$$

where C depends only on the coefficients of (A.2)-(A.6), $k = \|f\| + \|g\| + \|h\|$, $R = \min(1, s, \delta^2)$ and $L(\gamma(x,y))$ denotes the length of a continuous path $\gamma(s) \subset \Omega'$ connecting x and y. Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the L^∞ norm.

References

[1] D. G. Aronson, J. Serrin, *Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 25 1967 81-122.

[2] R. H. Bamler, Q. S. Zhang, *Heat kernel and curvature bounds in Ricci flows with bounded scalar curvature*, arXiv:1501.01291.

[3] S. Brendle, G. Huisken. *Mean curvature flow with surgery of mean convex surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$*, Invent. Math. 203 (2016), no. 2, 615-654.

[4] B. L. Chen, L. Yin, *Uniqueness and pseudolocality theorems of the mean curvature flow*. Comm. Anal. Geom. 15 (2007), no. 3, 435-490.

[5] A. Cooper, *Mean curvature blow up in mean curvature flow*, arXiv:0902.4282.

[6] A. Cooper, *A characterization of the singular time of the mean curvature flow*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 8, 2933-2942.

[7] H. Choi, R. Schoen, *The space of minimal embeddings of a surface into a three-dimensional manifold of positive Ricci curvature.* Invent. Math. 81 (1985), no. 3, 387-394.

[8] X.X. Chen, S. Sun, B. Wang, *Kähler Ricci flow, Kähler Einstein metric, and K-stability*, arXiv:1508.04397.

[9] X. X. Chen, B. Wang, *Space of Ricci flows I*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 65 (2012), no. 10, 1399-1457.

[10] X. X. Chen, B. Wang, *Space of Ricci flow II*. arXiv:1405.6797.

[11] X. X. Chen, B. Wang, *Remarks of weak compactness along Kähler Ricci flow*. arXiv:1605.01374.

[12] X. X. Chen, B. Wang, *On the conditions to extend Ricci flow(III)*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2013, no. 10, 2349-2367.

[13] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, *A course in minimal surfaces*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 121. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. xii+313 pp.

[14] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, *Embedded minimal surfaces without area bounds in 3-manifolds*. Geometry and topology: Aarhus (1998), 107-120, Contemp. Math., 258, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[15] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, *Smooth compactness of self-shrinkers*. Comment. Math. Helv. 87 (2012), no. 2, 463-475.

[16] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, *Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities*. Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 755-833.

[17] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, *The singular set of mean curvature flow with generic singularities*, arXiv:1405.5187.

[18] K. Ecker, *Regularity theory for mean curvature flow*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 57. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004

[19] K. Ecker, G. Huisken, *Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs*. Ann. of Math. (2) 130 (1989), no. 3, 453-471.

[20] K. Ecker, G. Huisken, *Interior estimates for hypersurfaces moving by mean curvature*. Invent. Math. 105 (1991), no. 3, 547-569.

[21] R. Gulliver, B. Lawson, *The structure of stable minimal hypersurfaces near a singularity*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 44 (1986), 213-237.

[22] N. Q. Le, N. Sesum, *The mean curvature at the first singular time of the mean curvature flow*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire 27 (2010), no. 6, 1441-1459.

[23] N. Q. Le, N. Sesum, *On the extension of the mean curvature flow*, Math. Z. 267 (2011), no. 3-4, 583-604.

[24] N. Q. Le, N. Sesum, *Blow-up rate of the mean curvature during the mean curvature flow and a gap theorem for self-shrinkers*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 19 (2011), no. 4, 633-659.

[25] L. Z. Lin, N. Sesum, *Blow-up of the mean curvature at the first singular time of the mean curvature flow*, arXiv:1302.1133.

[26] G. M. Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996. xii+439 pp.

[27] R. S. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 2, 255-306.

[28] R. Haslhofer, B. Kleiner, *Mean curvature flow of mean convex hypersurfaces*, arXiv:1304.0926.

[29] R. Haslhofer, B. Kleiner, *Mean curvature flow with surgery*, arXiv:1404.2332.

[30] G. Huisken, *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*. J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), no. 1, 237-266.

[31] G. Huisken, *Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow*. J. Differential Geom. 31 (1990), no. 1, 285-299.

[32] G. Huisken, C. Sinestrari, *Mean curvature flow singularities for mean convex surfaces*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 8 (1999), no. 1, 1-14.

[33] G. Huisken, C. Sinestrari, *Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces*. Acta Math. 183 (1999), no. 1, 45-70.

[34] G. Huisken, C. Sinestrari, *Mean curvature flow with surgeries of two-convex hypersurfaces*. Invent. Math. 175 (2009), no. 1, 137-221.

[35] T. Ilmanen, *Singularities of mean curvature flow of surfaces*, preprint http://www.math.ethz.ch/∼/papers/pub.html (1995).

[36] C. Mantegazza, *Lecture notes on mean curvature flow*, Progress in Mathematics, 290. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. xii+166 pp.

[37] N. Sesum, *Curvature tensor under the Ricci flow*, Amer. J. Math. 127 (2005), no. 6, 1315-1324.

[38] M. Simon, *Extending four dimensional Ricci flows with bounded scalar curvature*, arXiv:1504.02910.

[39] J. Song, G. Tian, *Bounding scalar curvature for global solutions of the Kahler-Ricci flow*, arXiv:1111.5681.

[40] B. Wang, *On the conditions to extend Ricci flow*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, no. 8, Art. ID rnn012, 30 pp.

[41] B. Wang, *On the conditions to extend Ricci flow(II)*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2012, no. 14, 3192-3223.

[42] L. Wang, *Geometry of two-dimensional self-shrinkers*, arXiv:1505.00133.

[43] M. T. Wang, *The mean curvature flow smoothes Lipschitz submanifolds*. Comm. Anal. Geom. 12 (2004), no. 3, 581-599.

[44] B. White, *The size of the singular set in mean curvature flow of mean-convex sets*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), no. 3, 665-695.

[45] B. White, *The nature of singularities in mean curvature flow of mean-convex sets*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), no. 1, 123-138.

[46] B. White, *A local regularity theorem for mean curvature flow*, Ann. of Math. (2) 161 (2005), no. 3, 1487-1519.

[47] B. White, *Curvature estimates and compactness theorems in 3-manifolds for surfaces that are stationary for parametric elliptic functionals*. Invent. Math. 88 (1987), no. 2, 243-256.

[48] B. White, *Lectures on Minimal Surface Theory*, arXiv:1308.3325.

[49] H. W. Xu; F. Ye; E. T. Zhao, *Extend mean curvature flow with finite integral curvature*. Asian J. Math. 15 (2011), no. 4, 549-556.

[50] Z. Zhang, *Scalar curvature behavior for finite-time singularity of Kähler-Ricci flow*. Michigan Math. J. 59 (2010), no. 2, 419-433.

Haozhao Li, Key Laboratory of Wu Wen-Tsun Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, No. 96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, Anhui Province, 230026, China; hzli@ustc.edu.cn.

Bing Wang, Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA; bwang@math.wisc.edu.